arXiv:1207.0199v1 [math.DG] 1 Jul 2012

Multiply twisted products

Yong Wang

Abstract

In this paper, we compute the index form of the multiply twisted products. We study the Killing vector fields on the multiply twisted product manifolds and determine the Killing vector fields in some cases. We compute the curvature of the multiply twisted products with a semi-symmetric metric connection and show that the mixed Ricci-flat multiply twisted products with a semi-symmetric metric connection can be expressed as multiply warped products. We also study the Einstein multiply warped products with a semi-symmetric metric connection and the multiply warped products with a semi-symmetric metric connection with constant scalar curvature, we apply our results to generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection and generalized Kasner spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection and find some new examples of Einstein affine manifolds and affine manifolds with constant scalar curvature. We also consider the multiply twisted product Finsler manifolds and we get some interesting properties of these spaces.

Keywords: Multiply twisted products; index forms; Killing vector fields; semi-symmetric metric connection; Ricci tensor; scalar curvature; Einstein manifolds; multiply twisted product Finsler manifolds

1 Introduction

The (singly) warped product $B \times_b F$ of two pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (B, g_B) and (F, g_F) with a smooth function $b : B \to (0, \infty)$ is the product manifold $B \times F$ with the metric tensor $g = g_B \oplus b^2 g_F$. Here, (B, g_B) is called the base manifold and (F, g_F) is called as the fiber manifold and b is called as the warping function. Generalized Robertson-Walker space-times and standard static space-times are two well-known warped product spaces.The concept of warped products was first introduced by Bishop and ONeil (see [BO]) to construct examples of Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature. In Riemannian geometry, warped product manifolds and their generic forms have been used to construct new examples with interesting curvature properties since then. In [DD], F. Dobarro and E. Dozo had studied from the viewpoint of partial differential equations and variational methods, the problem of showing when a Riemannian metric of constant scalar curvature can be produced on a product manifolds by a warped product construction. In [EJK], Ehrlich, Jung and Kim got explicit solutions to warping function to have a constant scalar curvature for generalized Robertson-Walker space-times. In [ARS], explicit solutions were also obtained for the warping function to make the space-time as Einstein when the fiber is also Einstein.

One can generalize singly warped products to multiply warped products. Briefly, a multiply warped product (M, g) is a product manifold of form $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ with the metric $g = g_B \oplus b_1^2 g_{F_1} \oplus b_2^2 g_{F_2} \cdots \otimes b_m^2 g_{F_m}$, where for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$, $b_i : \ B \to (0, \infty)$ is smooth and (F_i, g_{F_i}) is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. In particular, when $B = (c, d)$ with the negative definite metric $g_B = -dt^2$ and (F_i, g_{F_i}) is a Riemannian manifold, we call M as the multiply generalized Robertson-Walker space-time. Geodesic equations and geodesic connectedness of multiply generalized Robertson-Walker space-times were studied by Flores and Sánchez in [FS] and they also noted that the class of multiply generalized warped space-times contains many well known relativistic space-times. In [U1], necessary and sufficient conditions were obtained about geodesic completeness of multiply warped space-times. In [DU1], Dobarro and Ünal studied Ricci-flat and Einstein-Lorentzian multiply warped products and considered the case of having constant scalar curvature for multiply warped products and applied their results to generalized Kasner space-times.

Singly warped products have two natural generalizations. A doubly warped product (M, g) is a product manifold of form $M =_f B \times_b F$, with smooth functions $b : B \to (0, \infty)$, $f : F \to (0, \infty)$ and the metric tensor $g = f^2 g_B \oplus b^2 g_F$. In [U2], Ünal studied geodesic completeness of Riemannian doubly warped products and Lorentzian doubly warped products. A twisted product (M, g) is a product manifold of form $M = B \times_b F$, with a smooth function $b : B \times F \to (0, \infty)$, and the metric tensor $g = g_B \oplus b^2 g_F$. In [FGKU], they showed that mixed Ricci-flat twisted products could be expressed as warped products. As a consequence, any Einstein twisted products are warped products. So it is natural to consider multiply twisted products as generalizations of multiply warped products and twisted products. A multiply twisted product (M, g) is a product manifold of form $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ with the metric $g = g_B \oplus b_1^2 g_{F_1} \oplus b_2^2 g_{F_2} \cdots \oplus b_m^2 g_{F_m}$, where for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$, $b_i : \ B \times F_i \to (0, \infty)$ is smooth.

In [EK], Ehrlich and Kim constructed the index form along timelike geodesics on a Lorentzian warped product and applied this index form to generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes. In [CK], they computed the index form of multiply generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes. In the first part of this paper, we compute the index form of multiply twisted products. In [Sa], the curvature and Killing vector fields of generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes were studied. The non-trivial Killing vector fields of these space-times were characterized. In [DU2], they provided a global characterization of the Killing vector fields of a standard static spacetime by a system of partial differential equations. By studying this system, they determined all the Killing vector fields when Riemannian part was compact. In the second part of this paper, we study the Killing vector fields of multiply twisted products and in some cases, we can determine all Killing vector fields.

The definition of a semi-symmetric metric connection was given by H. Hayden in [Ha]. In 1970, K. Yano [Ya] considered a semi-symmetric metric connection and studied some of its properties. He proved that a Riemannian manifold admitting the semi-symmetric metric connection has vanishing curvature tensor if and only if it is conformally flat. Motivated by the Yano' result, in [SO], Sular and Özgur studied warped product manifolds with a semi-symmetric metric connection, they computed curvature of semi-symmetric metric connection and considered Einstein warped product manifolds with a semi-symmetric metric connection. In the main part of this paper, we consider multiply twisted products with a semi-symmetric metric connection and compute the curvature of a semi-symmetric metric connection. We show that mixed Ricci-flat multiply twisted products with a semi-symmetric metric connection can be expressed as multiply warped products which generalizes the result in [FGKU]. We also study the Einstein multiply warped products with a semi-symmetric metric connection and multiply warped products with a semi-symmetric metric connection with constant scalar curvature, we apply our results to generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection and generalized Kasner spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection and we find some new examples of Einstein affine manifolds and affine manifolds with constant scalar curvature. We also classify generalized Einstein Robertson-Walker spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection and generalized Einstein Kasner spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection.

On the other hand, Finsler geometry is a subject studying manifolds whose tangent spaces carry a norm varying smoothly with the base point. Indeed, Finsler geometry is just Riemannian geometry without the quadratic restriction. Thus it is natural to extend the construction of warped product manifolds for Finsler geometry. In the first step, Asanov gave the generalization of the Schwarzschild metric in the Finslerian setting and obtained some models of relativety theory descried through the warped product of Finsler metrics [As1,2]. In [KPV], Kozma-Peter-Varga defined their warped product for Finsler metrics and concluded that completeness of warped product can be related to completeness of its components. In [HR], Using the Cantan connection for the study of warped product Finsler spaces, they found the necessary and sufficient conditions for such manifolds to be Riemannian, Landsberg, Berwald, and locally Minkowski, separately. In [PT] and [PTN], they considered the doubly warped product Finsler manifolds and found the necessary and sufficient conditions for such manifolds to be Riemannian, Landsberg, Berwald, Douglas, locally dually flat. They also defined the doubly warped Sasaki-Matsumoto metric for warped product manifolds and found a condition under which the horizontal and vertical tangent bundle were totally geodesic. In this paper, we consider multiply twisted product Finsler manifolds. Let $(B, \overline{F_B})$, $(M_i, \overline{F_i})$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ be Finsler manifolds and $f_i : B \times M_i \to (0, +\infty)$ be a smooth function. Let $\pi_i : TM_i \to M_i$ be the projection map. The product manifold $B \times M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$ endowed with the metric $\overline{F} : TB^0 \times TM_1^0 \cdots \times TM_m^0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is considered,

$$\overline{F}(v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_m)$$

$$= \sqrt{\overline{F_B}^2(v_0) + f_1^2(\pi_0(v_0), \pi_1(v_1))\overline{F_1}^2(v_1) + \cdots + f_m^2(\pi_0(v_0), \pi_m(v_m))\overline{F_m}^2(v_m)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $TB^0 = TB - \{0\}$, $TM_i^0 = TM_i - \{0\}$. We find the necessary and sufficient conditions for multiply twisted product Finsler manifolds to be Riemannian, Landsberg, Berwald, locally dually flat, locally Minkowski.We also define the generalized twisted Sasaki-Matsumoto metric and get a condition under which the horizontal and vertical tangent bundle are totally geodesic.

This paper is arranged as follows: In Section 2, we compute curvature and the index form of multiply twisted products. In Section 3, we study the Killing vector fields of multiply twisted products and in some cases, we can determine all Killing vector fields. In Section 4, we study multiply twisted products with a semi-symmetric metric connection. In Section 5, we study multiply twisted product Finsler manifolds.

2 The index form of the multiply twisted products

Definition 2.1 A *multiply twisted product* (M, g) is a product manifold of form $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ with the metric $g = g_B \oplus b_1^2 g_{F_1} \oplus b_2^2 g_{F_2} \cdots \oplus b_m^2 g_{F_m}$, where for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$, $b_i : B \times F_i \to (0, \infty)$ is smooth.

Here, (B, g_B) is called the base manifold and (F_i, g_{F_i}) is called as the fiber manifold and b_i is called as the twisted function. Obviously, twisted products and multiply warped products are the special cases of multiply twisted products.

Proposition 2.2 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product and let* $X, Y \in \Gamma(TB)$ *and* $U \in \Gamma(TF_i)$, $W \in \Gamma(TF_j)$. *Then*
(1) $\nabla_X Y = \nabla^B_X Y$.
(2) $\nabla_X U = \nabla_U X = \frac{X(b_i)}{b_i} U$.
(3) $\nabla_U W = 0 \ \ if \ i \neq j$.
(4) $\nabla_U W = U(\ln b_i)W + W(\ln b_i)U - \frac{g_{F_i}(U,W)}{b_i}\mathrm{grad}_{F_i} b_i - b_i g_{F_i}(U, W)\mathrm{grad}_B b_i + \nabla^{F_i}_U W \ \ if \ i = j$.

Proposition 2.3 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product, then* B *is a totally geodesic submanifold and* F_i *is a totally umbilical submanifold.*

Define the curvature, Ricci curvature and scalar curvature as follows:

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]},$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}(X, Y) = \sum_k \varepsilon_k < R(X, E_k)Y, E_k >, \quad S = \sum_k \varepsilon_k \mathrm{Ric}(E_k, E_k),$$

where E_k is a orthonormal base of M with $< E_k, E_k >= \varepsilon_k$. The Hessian of f is defined by $H^f(X, Y) = XYf - (\nabla_X Y)f$.

Proposition 2.4 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product and let* $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TB)$ *and* $V \in \Gamma(TF_i)$, $W \in \Gamma(TF_j)$, $U \in \Gamma(TF_k)$. *Then*
(1)$R(X, Y)Z = R^B(X, Y)Z$.
(2)$R(V, X)Y = -\frac{H^{b_i}_B(X,Y)}{b_i} V$.
(3)$R(X, V)W = R(V, W)X = R(V, X)W = 0 \ if \ i \neq j$.

(4)$R(X,Y)V=0.$
(5)$R(V,W)X=VX(\ln b_i)W-WX(\ln b_i)V\ if\ i=j.$
(6)$R(V,W)U=0\ if\ i=j\neq k\ or\ i\neq j\neq k.$
(7)$R(U,V)W=-g(V,W)\frac{g_B(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i,\mathrm{grad}_B b_k)}{b_ib_k}U,\ if\ i=j\neq k.$
(8)$R(X,V)W=-\frac{g(V,W)}{b_i}\nabla^B_X(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i)+[WX(\ln b_i)]V-g_{F_i}(W,V)\mathrm{grad}_{F_i}(X\ln b_i)\ if\ i=j.$
(9)$R(V,W)U=g(V,U)\mathrm{grad}_B(W(\ln b_i))-g(W,U)\mathrm{grad}_B(V(\ln b_i))+R^{F_i}(V,W)U-\frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|^2_B}{b_i^2}(g(W,U)V-g(V,U)W)\ if\ i=j=k.$

Proposition 2.5 *Let $M=B\times_{b_1}F_1\times_{b_2}F_2\cdots\times_{b_m}F_m$ be a multiply twisted product and let $X,Y,Z\in\Gamma(TB)$ and $V\in\Gamma(TF_i)$, $W\in\Gamma(TF_j)$. Then*
(1)$\mathrm{Ric}(X,Y)=\mathrm{Ric}^B(X,Y)+\sum_{i=1}^m\frac{l_i}{b_i}H^{b_i}_B(X,Y).$
(2)$\mathrm{Ric}(X,V)=\mathrm{Ric}(V,X)=(l_i-1)[VX(\ln b_i)].$
(3)$\mathrm{Ric}(V,W)=0\ if\ i\neq j.$
(4)$\mathrm{Ric}(V,W)=\mathrm{Ric}^{F_i}(V,W)+\left[\frac{\triangle_B b_i}{b_i}+(l_i-1)\frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|^2_B}{b_i^2}+\sum_{k\neq i}l_k\frac{g_B(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i,\mathrm{grad}_B b_k)}{b_ib_k}\right]$
$\cdot g(V,W)\ if\ i=j.$

By Proposition 2.5, similar to the theorem 1 in [FGKU], we get:

Corollary 2.6 *Let $M=B\times_{b_1}F_1\times_{b_2}F_2\cdots\times_{b_m}F_m$ be a multiply twisted product and* $\dim F_i>1$*, then M is mixed Ricci-flat if and only if M can be expressed as a multiply warped product. In particular, if M is Einstein, then M can be expressed as a multiply warped product.*

Similar to the theorem 6 in [BGV], we get:

Corollary 2.7 *Let $M=B\times_{b_1}F_1\times_{b_2}F_2\cdots\times_{b_m}F_m$ be a multiply twisted product and* $\dim B>1$, $\dim F_i>1$*, if M is locally conformal-flat, then M can be expressed as a multiply warped product.*

Proposition 2.8 *Let $M=B\times_{b_1}F_1\times_{b_2}F_2\cdots\times_{b_m}F_m$ be a multiply twisted product, then the scalar curvature S has the following expression:*

$$S=S^B+2\sum_{i=1}^m\frac{l_i}{b_i}\triangle_B b_i+\sum_{i=1}^m\frac{S^{F_i}}{b_i^2}+\sum_{i=1}^m l_i(l_i-1)\frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|^2_B}{b_i^2}+\sum_{i=1}^m\sum_{k\neq i}l_il_k\frac{g_B(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i,\mathrm{grad}_B b_k)}{b_ib_k}. \tag{2.1}$$

Similar to the proposition 3.1 in [U2], we have

Proposition 2.9 *Let $M=B\times_{b_1}F_1\times_{b_2}F_2\cdots\times_{b_m}F_m$ be a multiply twisted product. If (B,g_B) and (F_i,g_{F_i}) are complete Riemannian manifolds and* $\inf b_i>0$*, then (M,g) is a complete Riemannian manifold. Conversely, if (M,g) is a complete Riemannian manifold and* $0<\inf b_i<\sup b_i<+\infty$*, then (B,g_B) and (F_i,g_{F_i}) are complete Riemannian manifolds.*

By Proposition 2.2, we have:

Proposition 2.10 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product. Also let* $\gamma = (\alpha, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_m)$ *be a curve in* M *defined on some interval* $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$. *Then* γ *is a geodesic in* M *if and only if for any* $t \in I$,

1.$\alpha^{''} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i(\alpha, \beta_i) g_{F_i}(\beta_i', \beta_i') \mathrm{grad}_B b_i$.

2.$\beta_i'' = \frac{-2\alpha'(b_i)}{b_i(\alpha,\beta_i)} \beta_i' - 2\beta_i'(lnb_i)\beta_i' + \frac{g_{F_i}(\beta_i',\beta_i')}{b_i(\alpha,\beta_i)} \mathrm{grad}_{F_i} b_i,\ for\ any\ i \in \{1, 2 \cdots, m\}$.

By the proposition 2.2, the formula for the covariant derivative of a smooth vector field $V = (V_B, V_{F_1}, \cdots, V_{F_m})$ along the smooth curve $\gamma = (\alpha, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_m)$ may be obtained:

$$V'(t) = \Bigg(V_B'(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i < \beta_i'(t), V_i >_{F_i} \mathrm{grad}_B b_i\ ,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} [\frac{\alpha'(b_i)}{b_i(\alpha, \beta_i)} V_i + \frac{V_B(b_i)}{b_i} \beta_i'(t) + \beta_i'(\ln b_i) V_i + V_i(\ln b_i)\beta_i' - \frac{g_{F_i}(\beta_i', V_i)}{b_i} \mathrm{grad}_{F_i} b_i + \nabla^{F_i}_{\beta_i'} V_i] \Bigg) . \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.2), we have:

Proposition 2.11 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product.* *(a) Let* V_B *be a smooth vector field along the smooth curve* $\gamma_B : I \to (B, g_B)$ *and for fixed* $q_i \in F_i$, *let* $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_B(t), q_1, \cdots, q_m)$ *and* $V(t) = (V_B(t), 0, \cdots, 0)$ *along* γ. *Then* V *is parallel along* $\gamma : I \to (M, g)$ *if and only if* V_B *is parallel along* $\gamma_B : I \to (B, g_B)$. *(b) Let* V_{F_i} *be a smooth vector field along the smooth curve* $\gamma_{F_i} : I \to (F_i, g_{F_i})$ *and for fixed* $b \in B$ *and* $q_j \in F_j,\ j \neq i$, *let* $\gamma(t) = (b, q_1, \cdots, q_{i-1}, \gamma_{F_i}(t), \cdots, q_m)$ *and* $V(t) = (0, \cdots, 0, V_{F_i}(t), \cdots, 0)$ *along* γ. *Then* V *is parallel along* $\gamma : I \to (M, g)$ *if and only if* $< \gamma_{F_i}'(t), V_{F_i}(t) >_{F_i} \mathrm{grad}_B b_i = 0$ *and*

$$\gamma_{F_i}'(t)(lnb_i)V_i + V_i(lnb_i)\gamma_{F_i}'(t) - \frac{g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}'(t), V_i)}{b_i} \mathrm{grad}_{F_i} b_i + \nabla^{F_i}_{\gamma_{F_i}'(t)} V_i = 0.$$

Next we compute the index form. We use the variational approach rather than direct computation of the curvature formula $g(R(V, \gamma')\gamma', V)$ of the index form.

Now let $\gamma : [a, b] \to (M, g)$ be a unit timelike curve. Further, let $\alpha : I \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to (M, g)$ be a variation of $\gamma(t)$. Let

$$\alpha_s(t) := \alpha(t, s) = (\alpha_B(t, s), \alpha_1(t, s), \cdots, \alpha_m(t, s)) \tag{2.3}$$

and define corresponding variation vector fields

$$W = \alpha_* \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial s};\ W_B = (\alpha_B)_* \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial s}; W_i = (\alpha_i)_* \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial s} \tag{2.4}$$

$$V(t) = W(t, 0), \quad V_B(t) = W_B(t, 0), \quad V_i(t) = W_i(t, 0) \tag{2.5}$$

Also,

$$\alpha_s' = (\alpha_{B*}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \alpha_{1*}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \cdots, \alpha_{m*}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = (\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial t}, \cdots, \frac{\partial \alpha_m}{\partial t}). \tag{2.6}$$

Since the curve $\alpha_s(t)$ is timelike, if

$$h(t,s) = -g_B(\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t}) - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(\alpha_B, \alpha_i)(t,s) g_{F_i}(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial t}) = -g(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}) \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$A(t,s) = g_B(\nabla^B_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(b_i^2(\alpha_B, \alpha_i))(t,s) g_{F_i}(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial t})$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(\alpha_B, \alpha_i)(t,s) g_{F_i}(\nabla^{F_i}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial t}) \tag{2.8}$$

then

$$h(t,0) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} = -2A(t,s) \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$L(\alpha_s) = \int_{t=a}^b \sqrt{-g(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t})} = \int_{t=a}^b (h(t,s)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt. \tag{2.10}$$

Thus

$$L'(\alpha_s) = -\int_{t=a}^b h(t,s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} A(t,s) dt; \tag{2.11}$$

$$L''(\alpha_s) = -\int_{t=a}^b [h(t,s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} A(t,s)^2 + h(t,s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial A(t,s)}{\partial s}] dt. \tag{2.12}$$

So

$$L''(0) = -\int_{t=a}^b [A(t,0)^2 + \frac{\partial A(t,s)}{\partial s}(t,0)] dt. \tag{2.13}$$

If $\gamma(t)$ is a unit timelike geodesic in (M,g), then $A(t,0) = g(V', \gamma')$. It remains to calculate $\frac{\partial A(t,s)}{\partial s}(t,0)$. By (2.8) and commuting the differentiation, we have

$$\frac{\partial A(t,s)}{\partial s}(t,0) = g_B(\nabla^B_{\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial s}} \nabla^B_{\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t}} \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t})|_{s=0} + g_B(V_B', V_B')$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}(b_i^2(\alpha_B, \alpha_i))(t,s)|_{s=0} g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}'(t), \gamma_{F_i}'(t))$$

$$+2\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(b_i^2(\alpha_B, \alpha_i))(t,s)|_{s=0} g_{F_i}(V_{F_i}'(t), \gamma_{F_i}'(t))$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t) g_{F_i}(\nabla^{F_i}_{\frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial s}} \nabla^{F_i}_{\frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial t}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial t})|_{s=0} + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t) g_{F_i}(V_{F_i}', V_{F_i}')$$

$$= g_B(V_B', V_B') - g_B(R^B(V_B, \gamma_B')\gamma_B', V_B) + g_B(\nabla^B_{\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t}} \nabla^B_{\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t})|_{s=0}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}(b_i^2(\alpha_B, \alpha_i))(t,s)|_{s=0} g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}'(t), \gamma_{F_i}'(t))$$

$$+2\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(b_i^2(\alpha_B, \alpha_i))(t,s)|_{s=0} g_{F_i}(V_{F_i}'(t), \gamma_{F_i}'(t))$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t) g_{F_i}(R^{F_i}(V_{F_i}, \gamma_{F_i}')\gamma_{F_i}', V_{F_i})$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t) g_{F_i}(\nabla^{F_i}_{\frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial t}} \nabla^{F_i}_{\frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial t})|_{s=0} + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t) g_{F_i}(V_{F_i}', V_{F_i}'). \tag{2.14}$$

By the proposition 2.10 and preserving the metric, we have

$$g_B(\nabla^B_{\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t}} \nabla^B_{\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t})|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{dt}[g_B(\nabla^B_{V_B} V_B, \gamma_B')] - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m g_B(\nabla^B_{V_B} V_B, \mathrm{grad}_B b_i^2) g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}', \gamma_{F_i}'), \tag{2.15}$$

We note that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}(b_i^2(\alpha_B, \alpha_i))(t,s) = (V_B^2 + 2V_B V_{F_i} + V_{F_i}^2)(b_i^2), \tag{2.16}$$

so

$$g_B(\nabla^B_{\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t}} \nabla^B_{\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial t})|_{s=0} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}(b_i^2(\alpha_B, \alpha_i))(t,s)|_{s=0} g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}'(t), \gamma_{F_i}'(t))$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt}[g_B(\nabla^B_{V_B} V_B, \gamma_B')] + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Hess}^B(b_i^2)(V_B, V_B) g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}', \gamma_{F_i}')$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m (2V_B V_{F_i} + V_{F_i}^2)(b_i^2) g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}', \gamma_{F_i}'). \tag{2.17}$$

By the proposition 2.10, similarly we can get

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t) g_{F_i}(\nabla^{F_i}_{\frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial t}} \nabla^{F_i}_{\frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \alpha_{F_i}}{\partial t})|_{s=0}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt}[\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t) g_{F_i}(\nabla^{F_i}_{V_{F_i}} V_{F_i}, \gamma_{F_i}')] - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m g_{F_i}(\nabla^{F_i}_{V_{F_i}} V_{F_i}, \mathrm{grad}_{F_i}(b_i^2)) g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}', \gamma_{F_i}'). \tag{2.18}$$

By (2.13) (2.14),(2.17) and (2.18), we get

Proposition 2.12 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product. Let* $\gamma : [a,b] \to (M,g)$ *be a unit timelike geodesic and* $\alpha : [a,b] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to (M,g)$ *be a smooth variation of* $\gamma(t)$ *with variation vector field* $V = (V_B, V_{F_1}, \cdots, V_{F_m})$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
L''(0) = -\int_{t=a}^{b} & \{ g(V', \gamma')^2 + g_B(V_B', V_B') - g_B(R^B(V_B, \gamma_B')\gamma_B', V_B) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t)[g_{F_i}(V_{F_i}', V_{F_i}') - g_{F_i}(R^{F_i}(V_{F_i}, \gamma_{F_i}')\gamma_{F_i}', V_{F_i})] \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}[\mathrm{Hess}^B(b_i^2)(V_B, V_B) + \mathrm{Hess}^{F_i}(b_i^2)(V_{F_i}, V_{F_i}) + 2V_B V_{F_i}(b_i^2)] g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}', \gamma_{F_i}') \\
& \left. + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m}(V_B + V_{F_i})(b_i^2) g_{F_i}(V_{F_i}', \gamma_{F_i}') \right\} dt \\
& - \left[g_B(\nabla_{V_B}^B V_B, \gamma_B') + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t) g_{F_i}(\nabla_{V_{F_i}}^{F_i} V_{F_i}, \gamma_{F_i}') \right] |_a^b. \qquad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

In studying the second variation and index form, it suffices to consider vector fields perpendicular to the given geodesic $\gamma(t)$. Let $V^{\perp}(\gamma)$ denote the vector space of piecewise smooth vector fields V along γ with $g(V, \gamma') = 0$ and let $V_0^{\perp}(\gamma) = \{V \in V^{\perp}(\gamma) | V(a) = V(b) = 0\}$. Then guided by the result of Proposition 2.12, the index form

$$I : \ V_0^{\perp}(\gamma) \times V_0^{\perp}(\gamma) \to \mathbf{R}$$

should be given by

$$\begin{aligned}
I(V, V) == -\int_{t=a}^{b} & \{ g_B(V_B', V_B') - g_B(R^B(V_B, \gamma_B')\gamma_B', V_B) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^2(\gamma_B, \gamma_{F_i})(t)[g_{F_i}(V_{F_i}', V_{F_i}') - g_{F_i}(R^{F_i}(V_{F_i}, \gamma_{F_i}')\gamma_{F_i}', V_{F_i})] \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}[\mathrm{Hess}^B(b_i^2)(V_B, V_B) + \mathrm{Hess}^{F_i}(b_i^2)(V_{F_i}, V_{F_i}) + 2V_B V_{F_i}(b_i^2)] g_{F_i}(\gamma_{F_i}', \gamma_{F_i}') \\
& \left. + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m}(V_B + V_{F_i})(b_i^2) g_{F_i}(V_{F_i}', \gamma_{F_i}') \right\} dt \qquad (2.20)
\end{aligned}$$

$I(V, W)$ could be obtained from (2.20) by polarization.

Now we specialize to the index form to the case that $(M, g) = (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times F, -du^2 + f_1^2(u, x)dx^2 + f_2^2(u) g_F)$ where (F, g_F) is a Riemannian manifold. We call such Lorentzian manifolds as static multiply twisted product spacetimes. Let $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_B(t), \gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t))$ denote a unit timelike geodesic segment. Consider variation vector fields $V = (V_B, V_1, V_2)$ along γ with $g(V, \gamma') = 0$. We begin with a special case in which the timelike geodesic $\gamma(t)$ is of the form $\gamma(t) = (t, x_0, q)$. By $g(V, \gamma') = 0$, then $V_B = 0$. By $\gamma'_{F_i} = 0$, we get

Proposition 2.13 *Let* $(M, g) = (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times F, -du^2 + f_1^2(u, x)dx^2 + f_2^2(u)g_F)$. *Let* $\gamma : [a, b] \to (M, g)$ *be a unit timelike geodesic having form* $\gamma(t) = (t, x_0, q)$ *Then* $I :\ V_0^{\perp}(\gamma) \times V_0^{\perp}(\gamma) \to \mathbf{R}$ is given by

$$I(V, V) = -\int_{t=a}^{b} \left[f_1^2(t, x_0) g_R(V_1', V_1') + f_2^2(t) g_F(V_2', V_2') \right]. \tag{2.21}$$

By Proposition 2.10, we have

Corollary 2.14 *Let* $(M, g) = (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times F, -du^2 + f_1^2(u, x)dx^2 + f_2^2(u)g_F)$. *Then* $\gamma(t) = (\tau(t), \tau_1(t), \gamma_F(t))$ *be a geodesic if and only if*

$$(1)\ \tau''(t) - \frac{1}{2}\tau_1'(t)^2 \frac{\partial f_1^2}{\partial u}(\tau(t), \tau_1(t)) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f_2^2}{\partial u}(\tau(t)) g_F(\gamma_F', \gamma_F') = 0; \tag{2.22}$$

$$(2)\ \tau_1''(t) + \frac{1}{f_1^2(\tau(t), \tau_1(t))}\left[\frac{\partial f_1^2}{\partial u}(\tau(t), \tau_1(t))\tau'(t)\tau_1'(t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f_1^2}{\partial x}(\tau(t), \tau_1(t))\tau_1'(t)^2 \right] = 0; \tag{2.23}$$

$$(3)\ \gamma_F'' + \frac{1}{f_2^2(\tau(t))}\frac{\partial f_2^2}{\partial u}(\tau(t))\tau'(t)\gamma_F'(t) = 0. \tag{2.24}$$

Let $V_B = \nu_B(t)\frac{d}{du}|_{\tau(t)}$ and $V_1 = \nu_1(t)\frac{d}{dx}|_{\tau_1(t)}$, then direct computation show that

Proposition 2.15 *Let* $(M, g) = (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times F, -du^2 + f_1^2(u, x)dx^2 + f_2^2(u)g_F)$. *Let* $\gamma : [a, b] \to (M, g)$ *be a unit timelike geodesic and* $V \in V_0^{\perp}(\gamma)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} I(V, V) = -\int_{t=a}^{b} \Bigg\{ & -\nu_B'(t)^2 + f_1^2(\tau(t), \tau_1(t))\nu_1'(t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Bigg[\nu_B^2(t)\frac{\partial^2 f_1^2}{\partial u^2}(\tau(t), \tau_1(t)) \\ & + \nu_1^2(t)\frac{\partial^2 f_1^2}{\partial x^2}(\tau(t), \tau_1(t)) + 2\nu_B(t)\nu_1(t)\frac{\partial^2 f_1^2}{\partial u \partial x}(\tau(t), \tau_1(t)) \Bigg] \tau_1'(t)^2 \\ & + 2\left[\nu_B(t)\frac{\partial f_1^2}{\partial u}(\tau(t), \tau_1(t)) + \nu_1(t)\frac{\partial f_1^2}{\partial x}(\tau(t), \tau_1(t)) \right] \nu_1'(t)\tau_1'(t) \\ & + f_2^2(\tau(t))\left[g_F(V_2', V_2') - g_F(R^F(V_2, \gamma_2')\gamma_2', V_2) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\nu_B^2(t)\frac{\partial^2 f_2^2}{\partial u^2}(\tau(t)) g_F(\gamma_2'(t), \gamma_2'(t)) + 2\nu_B(t)\frac{\partial f_2^2}{\partial u}(\tau(t)) g_F(V_2'(t), \gamma_2'(t)) \Bigg\} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

3 Killing vector fields on the multiply twisted product manifolds

In this section, we develop the propertied of Killing vector fields, then we focus our attention on some special cases and characterize Killing vector fields on these spaces.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply twisted product. Let $X \in \Gamma(TB),\ U \in \Gamma(TF_i)$, then*

$$L_X^M g_M = L_X^B g_B + \sum_{i=1}^{m} X(b_i^2) g_{F_i}; \quad L_U^M g_M = b_i^2 L_U^{F_i} g_{F_i} + U(b_i^2) g_{F_i}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.2 *Let $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply twisted product. Let $X \in \Gamma(TB),\ U_i \in \Gamma(TF_i)$, then $K = X + U_1 + \cdots U_m$ is a Killing vector fields if and only if X is a Killing vector fields on B and U_i is a conformal Killing vector fields on F_i with the conformal factor $-\frac{X(b_i^2)+U_i(b_i^2)}{b_i^2}$.*

Lemma 3.3 *Let $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply twisted product. If K is a Killing vector field on M, then $K_B = K(\cdot, q_1, \cdots, q_m)$ is a Killing vector field on B, and $K_{F_i} = K(p, q_1, \cdots, q_{i-1}, \cdot, q_{i+1}, \cdots, q_m)$ is Killing vector field on F_i.*

Nextly, we assume $m = 2$ and $b_i = f_i$. Let $K_a,\ a \in \{1, \cdots, \overline{m_B}\}$ be a basis of Killing vector fields of (B, g_B) and $W_b,\ b \in \{1, \cdots, \overline{m_{F_1}}\}$ be a basis of conformal Killing vector fields of (F_1, g_{F_1}) with $L_{W_b}^{F_1} g_{F_1} = 2\sigma_b g_{F_1}$ and $G_c,\ c \in \{1, \cdots, \overline{m_{F_2}}\}$ be a basis of conformal Killing vector fields of (F_2, g_{F_2}) with $L_{G_c}^{F_2} g_{F_2} = 2\overline{\sigma_c} g_{F_2}$. Let x, y, z denote variables on B, F_1, F_2 respectively. If K is a Killing vector field on M, then by Lemma 3.3, there are functions $\mu^a(y, z),\ \delta^b(x, z), \lambda^c(x, y)$ such that

$$K = K_B + K_{F_1} + K_{F_2},\ K_B = \mu^a K_a,\ K_{F_1} = \delta^b W_b,\ K_{F_2} = \lambda^c G_c. \tag{3.2}$$

We note that if ϕ, Z and T are respectively a function, a vector field and a 2-covariant tensor field on M, then

$$L_{\phi Z} T(\cdot, \cdot) = \phi L_Z T(\cdot, \cdot) + d\phi(\cdot) \otimes T(Z, \cdot) + T(Z, \cdot) \otimes d\phi(\cdot). \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\hat{K_a} = g_B(K_a, \cdot),\ \hat{W_b} = g_{F_1}(W_b, \cdot)\ \hat{G_c} = g_{F_2}(G_c, \cdot)$. By Lemma 3.1 and (3.2), (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_K g_M = {} & 2f_1^2 \left[K_B(lnf_1) + K_{F_1}(lnf_1) + \delta^b \sigma_b\right] g_{F_1} + 2f_2^2 \left[K_B(lnf_2) + K_{F_2}(lnf_2) + \lambda^c \overline{\sigma_c}\right] g_{F_2} \\
& + d\mu^a \otimes \widehat{K_a} + \widehat{K_a} \otimes d\mu^a + f_1^2(d\delta^b \otimes \widehat{W_b} + \widehat{W_b} \otimes d\delta^b) + f_2^2(d\lambda^c \otimes \widehat{G_c} + \widehat{G_c} \otimes d\lambda^c) \\
= {} & 2f_1^2 \left[K_B(lnf_1) + K_{F_1}(lnf_1) + \delta^b \sigma_b\right] g_{F_1} + 2f_2^2 \left[K_B(lnf_2) + K_{F_2}(lnf_2) + \lambda^c \overline{\sigma_c}\right] g_{F_2} \\
& + (d_{F_1}\mu^a \otimes \widehat{K_a} + f_1^2 \widehat{W_b} \otimes d_B\delta^b) + (\widehat{K_a} \otimes d_{F_1}\mu^a + f_1^2 d_B\delta^b \otimes \widehat{W_b})
\end{aligned}$$

$$+(d_{F_2}\mu^a\otimes\widehat{K_a}+f_2^2\widehat{G_c}\otimes d_B\lambda^c)+(\widehat{K_a}\otimes d_{F_2}\mu^a+f_2^2d_B\lambda^c\otimes\widehat{G_c})$$

$$+(f_1^2d_{F_2}\delta^b\otimes\widehat{W_b}+f_2^2\widehat{G_c}\otimes d_{F_1}\lambda^c)+(f_1^2\widehat{W_b}\otimes d_{F_2}\delta^b+f_2^2d_{F_1}\lambda^c\otimes\widehat{G_c}). \tag{3.4}$$

So we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $M=B\times_{f_1}F_1\times_{f_2}F_2$. Let K be a vector field on M as in (3.2), then K is a Killing vector field if and only if the following relations hold:*

$$K_B(lnf_1)+K_{F_1}(lnf_1)+\delta^b\sigma_b=0;\ K_B(lnf_2)+K_{F_2}(lnf_2)+\lambda^c\overline{\sigma_c}=0; \tag{3.5}$$

$$d_{F_1}\mu^a\otimes\widehat{K_a}+f_1^2\widehat{W_b}\otimes d_B\delta^b=0;\ d_{F_2}\mu^a\otimes\widehat{K_a}+f_2^2\widehat{G_c}\otimes d_B\lambda^c=0; \tag{3.6}$$

$$f_1^2d_{F_2}\delta^b\otimes\widehat{W_b}+f_2^2\widehat{G_c}\otimes d_{F_1}\lambda^c=0. \tag{3.7}$$

Now we let $M=I\times_{f_1}F_1\times_{f_2}F_2$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2+f_1(t)^2g_{F_1}+f_2(t)^2g_{F_2}$. By (3.2), then $K=\mu(y,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\delta^b(t,z)W_b+\lambda^c(t,y)G_c$. By Proposition 3.4, we have if K is a Killing vector field, then

$$K_B(lnf_1)+\delta^b\sigma_b=0;\ K_B(lnf_2)+\lambda^c\overline{\sigma_c}=0; \tag{3.8}$$

$$-d_{F_1}\mu\otimes dt+f_1^2\widehat{W_b}\otimes d_B\delta^b=0;\ -d_{F_2}\mu\otimes dt+f_2^2\widehat{G_c}\otimes d_B\lambda^c=0; \tag{3.9}$$

$$f_1^2d_{F_2}\delta^b\otimes\widehat{W_b}+f_2^2\widehat{G_c}\otimes d_{F_1}\lambda^c=0. \tag{3.10}$$

By (3.9), then

$$d_{F_1}\mu(y,z)=f_1^2\frac{\partial\delta^b(t,z)}{\partial t}\widehat{W_b}. \tag{3.11}$$

Since $\widehat{W_b}$ is a base, by separation of variables, we obtain

$$\delta^b(t,z)=\psi_b(z)\int_{t=t_0}^t f_1^{-2}(u)du+\widetilde{\psi_b(z)},\quad d_{F_1}\mu(y,z)=\psi_b(z)\widehat{W_b}. \tag{3.12}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$d_{F_2}\mu(y,z)=f_2^2\frac{\partial\lambda^c(t,y)}{\partial t}\widehat{G_c}=\phi_c(y)\widehat{G_c};\quad \lambda^c(t,y)=\phi_c(y)\int_{t=t_0}^t f_2^{-2}(u)du+\widetilde{\phi_c(y)}. \tag{3.13}$$

One derives (3.8) with respect to t, then

$$\mu(y,z)\left(\frac{f_1'}{f_1}\right)'+f_1^{-2}(t)\psi_b(z)\sigma_b(y)=0 \tag{3.14}$$

Case I) $\mu=0$, by (3.12) and (3.13),

$$\psi_b(z)=0,\ \delta^b(t,z)=\widetilde{\psi_b(z)},\ \phi_c(y)=0,\ \lambda^c(t,y)=\widetilde{\phi_c(y)},\widetilde{\psi_b(z)}\sigma_b=0,\ \widetilde{\phi_c(y)}\overline{\sigma_c}=0. \tag{3.15}$$

So we have

Proposition 3.5 *Let $M = I \times_{f_1} F_1 \times_{f_2} F_2$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f_1(t)^2 g_{F_1} + f_2(t)^2 g_{F_2}$. K is a Killing vector field with $\mu = 0$, then $K = K_{F_1}(y,z) + K_{F_2}(y,z)$ and $K_{F_1}(y, z_0)$ is a Killing vector field on (F_1, g_{F_1}) and $K_{F_2}(y_0, z)$ is a Killing vector field on (F_2, g_{F_2}).*

Case II) $\mu \neq 0$. By (3.14), then

$$\left(\frac{f_1'}{f_1}\right)' f_1^2(t) = -\frac{\psi_b(z)\sigma_b(y)}{\mu(y,z)} = C_F^1; \tag{3.16}$$

$$\left(\frac{f_2'}{f_2}\right)' f_2^2(t) = -\frac{\phi_c(y)\overline{\sigma_c(z)}}{\mu(y,z)} = C_F^2, \tag{3.17}$$

where C_F^1, C_F^2 are constants. By (3.2),(3.12),(3.13),(3.16) and (3.17), we obtain

$$K = \mu(y,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathrm{grad}_{F_1}\mu \int_{t_0}^{t} f_1^{-2}(u)du + \mathrm{grad}_{F_2}\mu \int_{t_0}^{t} f_2^{-2}(u)du + \widetilde{\psi_b}(z)W_b + \widetilde{\phi_c}(y)G_c; \tag{3.18}$$

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{F_1}^{\mu} + C_F^1 \mu g_{F_1} = 0;\ \mathrm{Hess}_{F_2}^{\mu} + C_F^2 \mu g_{F_2} = 0; \tag{3.19}$$

We may assume that μ is not a constant. One can derive (3.8) with respect to t and by (3.12) and (3.16), we get

$$-\psi_b\sigma_b(lnf_1)'(t_0) + C_F^1\widetilde{\psi_b}(z)\sigma_b(y) = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

So if $\mathbf{C_F^1 \neq 0}$, then $T^{F_1} = \widetilde{\psi_b}(z)W_b - \frac{(lnf_1)'(t_0)}{C_F^1}\psi_b(z)W_b$ is a Killing vector field on F_1 for fixed z and

$$\widetilde{\psi_b}(z)W_b = T^{F_1} + \frac{(lnf_1)'(t_0)}{C_F^1}\mathrm{grad}_{F_1}\mu; \tag{3.21}$$

Similarly, if $\mathbf{C_F^2 \neq 0}$, then

$$\widetilde{\phi_c}(y)G_c = T^{F_2} + \frac{(lnf_2)'(t_0)}{C_F^2}\mathrm{grad}_{F_2}\mu. \tag{3.22}$$

By (3.16),(3.17),(3.18), (3.21) and (3.22), we obtain

Proposition 3.7 *If $M = I \times_{f_1} F_1 \times_{f_2} F_2$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f_1(t)^2 g_{F_1} + f_2(t)^2 g_{F_2}$ admits a Killing vector field with $\mu \neq 0$, then $\left(\frac{f_i'}{f_i}\right)' f_i^2(t) = C_F^i$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proposition 3.8 *Let $M = I \times_{f_1} F_1 \times_{f_2} F_2$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f_1(t)^2 g_{F_1} + f_2(t)^2 g_{F_2}$. and $\left(\frac{f_i'}{f_i}\right)' f_i^2(t) = C_F^i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then its Killing vector field is given by*

$$K = \mu(y,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathrm{grad}_{F_1}\mu\left(\int_{t_0}^{t} f_1^{-2}(u)du + \frac{(lnf_1)'(t_0)}{C_F^1}\right)$$

$$+\mathrm{grad}_{F_2}\mu\left(\int_{t_0}^{t} f_2^{-2}(u)du+\frac{(lnf_2)'(t_0)}{C_F^2}\right)+T^{F_1}(y,z)+T^{F_2}(y,z), \tag{3.23}$$

where $T^{F_1}(y,z_0)$ is a Killing vector field on (F_1,g_{F_1}) and $T^{F_2}(y_0,z)$ is a Killing vector field on (F_2,g_{F_2}) and μ satisfies (3.19).

When $C_F^i=0$, we may obtain similar results like Theorem 4.7 in [Sa].

Nextly, we consider **the Killing vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^2\times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2+ds^2+f^2(t)g_F$.**

Direct computations show that vector fields $K_1=\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\ K_2=\frac{\partial}{\partial s},\ K_3=s\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+t\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ are the basis of Killing vector fields on R^2 with the metric tensor $-dt^2+ds^2$. Let $W_b,\ b\in\{1,\cdots,\overline{m_F}\}$ be a basis of conformal Killing vector fields of (F,g_F) with $L^F_{W_b}g_F=2\sigma_b g_F$, then

$$K=\mu^aK_a+\delta^bW_b;\ K_B(lnf)+\delta^b\sigma_b=0;\ d\mu^a\otimes\widehat{K_a}+f^2\widehat{W_b}\otimes d\delta^b=0. \tag{3.24}$$

By $\widehat{K_1}=-dt,\ \widehat{K_2}=ds,\ \widehat{K_3}=-sdt+tds$ and (3.24), we have:

$$d\mu^1+sd\mu^3-f^2(t)\frac{\partial\delta^b}{\partial t}\widehat{W_b}=0; \tag{3.25}$$

$$d\mu^2+td\mu^3+f^2(t)\frac{\partial\delta^b}{\partial s}\widehat{W_b}=0. \tag{3.26}$$

We derive (3.25) with respect to s, then

$$\delta^b=C_bs\int_{t_0}^{t}f^{-2}(u)du+\widehat{C_b}(s)+L_b(t), \tag{3.27}$$

where C_b is a constant. By (3.25) and (3.27), we have

$$d\mu^3=C_b\widehat{W_b},\ d\mu^1=d_b\widehat{W_b},\ \delta^b=(C_bs+d_b)\int_{t_0}^{t}f^{-2}(u)du+\widetilde{C_b}(s), \tag{3.28}$$

where d_b is a constant. By (3.26) and (3.28), we obtain

$$d\mu^2=\left(-C_bt-f^2(t)C_b\int_{t_0}^{t}f^{-2}(u)du-f^2(t)\widetilde{C_b}'(s)\right)\widehat{W_b}. \tag{3.29}$$

So

$$d\mu^2=e_b\widehat{W_b},\ C_bt+f^2(t)C_b\int_{t_0}^{t}f^{-2}(u)du+f^2(t)\widetilde{C_b}'(s)=e_b \tag{3.30}$$

where e_b is a constant. Then

$$\widetilde{C_b}'(s)=-C_btf^{-2}(t)-C_b\int_{t_0}^{t}f^{-2}(u)du+f^{-2}(t)e_b, \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\widetilde{C_b}'(s)=\widehat{e_b},\quad \widetilde{C_b}(s)=\widehat{e_b}s+\widetilde{e_b}, \tag{3.32}$$

where = $\widehat{e_b}, \widetilde{e_b}$ are constants. By (3.28) and (3.32), we have

$$\delta^b = (C_b s + d_b) \int_{t_0}^{t} f^{-2}(u)du + \widehat{e_b} s + \widetilde{e_b}. \tag{3.33}$$

We derive (3.31) with respect to t, then

$$C_b f = f'(C_b t - e_b). \tag{3.34}$$

Case I) There is a $C_b \neq 0$ i.e. μ^3 is not a constant. So by (3.34), then $f = A(t - \frac{e_b}{C_b}) = At + B \ for \ A \neq 0$ and f is fixed and $\frac{e_b}{C_b}$ is a constant independent of b. So by (3.28) and (3.30),

$$d\mu^2 = \lambda d\mu^3, \;\; \mu^2 = \lambda \mu^3 + \widetilde{\lambda}. \tag{3.35}$$

where $\lambda, \widetilde{\lambda}$ are constants. By the second equation in (3.24) and (3.33), we can obtain

$$\frac{A}{At + B}\mu_1 + \left[d_b \int_{t_0}^{t} f^{-2}(u)du + \widetilde{e_b} \right] \sigma_b = 0, \tag{3.36}$$

$$\frac{A}{At + B}\mu_3 + \left[C_b \int_{t_0}^{t} f^{-2}(u)du + \widehat{e_b} \right] \sigma_b = 0. \tag{3.37}$$

Derive (3.36) and (3.37) with respect to t, then

$$\mu_1 - \frac{1}{A^2} d_b \sigma_b = 0; \;\; \mu_3 - \frac{1}{A^2} C_b \sigma_b = 0. \tag{3.38}$$

So

$$\mathrm{Hess}_F^{\mu_1} = A^2 \mu_1 g_F; \;\; \mathrm{Hess}_F^{\mu_3} = A^2 \mu_3 g_F. \tag{3.39}$$

By (3.31),(3.32) and $f = At + B$, then

$$\widehat{e_b} = -\frac{C_b}{A(At_0 + B)}. \tag{3.40}$$

By (3.24),(3.33),(3.35) and (3.39), we obtain

$$K = (\mu_1 + s\mu_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + [(\lambda + t)\mu_3 + \widetilde{\lambda}]\frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \frac{s}{A(At + B)} \mathrm{grad}_F \mu_3$$

$$+ \left[-\frac{1}{A(At + B)} + \frac{1}{A(At_0 + B)} \right] \mathrm{grad}_F \mu_1 + W_*, \tag{3.41}$$

where $W_* = \widetilde{e_b} W_b$ is a conformal killing vector field on (F, g_F). In (3.36), we set $t = t_0$ and using (3.38), then

$$\frac{d_b \sigma_b}{A(At_0 + B)} + \widetilde{e_b} \sigma_b = 0. \tag{3.42}$$

and $T = \frac{d_bW_b}{A(At_0+B)} + \widetilde{e}_bW_b$ is a Killing vector field on F, So

$$K = (\mu_1 + s\mu_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + [(\lambda + t)\mu_3 + \widetilde{\lambda}]\frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \frac{s}{A(At+B)}\mathrm{grad}_F\mu_3$$

$$-\frac{1}{A(At+B)}\mathrm{grad}_F\mu_1 + T, \tag{3.43}$$

Theorem 3.9 *Let $M = R^2 \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + ds^2 + f^2(t)g_F$ and K is a Killing vector field given by (3.24) and μ_3 is not a constant, then $f = At+B,\ A \neq 0$ and K can be expressed by (3.43) and μ_1, μ_3 satisfy (3.39).*

Case II) $C_b = 0$ for any b and there is a $e_b \neq 0$ i.e. $\mu_3 = k_0$ **is a constant and** μ_2 **is not a constant.** By (3.34), $f = l_0$ is a constant. By (3.33), then

$$\delta^b = \frac{d_b}{l_0^2}(t - t_0) + \widehat{e_b}s + \widetilde{e_b}. \tag{3.44}$$

By (3.24) and (3.44), we obtain

$$d_b\sigma_b = 0, \quad \widetilde{e_b}\sigma_b = 0, \ \widehat{e_b}\sigma_b = 0, \tag{3.45}$$

so $\delta^bW_b = K_1t + K_2s + K_3$ where K_1, K_2, K_3 are Killing vector fields on F. We also get by (3.31)

$$\mathrm{Hess}_F^{\mu_1} = \mathrm{Hess}_F^{\mu_2} = 0. \tag{3.46}$$

Theorem 3.10 *Let $M = R^2 \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + ds^2 + f^2(t)g_F$ and K is a Killing vector field given by (3.24) and $\mu_3 = k_0$ and μ_2 is not a constant, then $f = l_0$ and K can be expressed by*

$$K = (\mu_1 + sk_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mu_2 + tk_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + K_1t + K_2s + K_3, \tag{3.47}$$

where K_1, K_2, K_3 are Killing vector fields on F and μ_1, μ_3 satisfy (3.46).

Case III) $C_b = e_b = 0$ for any b i.e. $\mu_3 = k_0,\ \mu_2 = \overline{k_0}$ **are constants**. In this case,

$$\delta^b = d_b\int_{t_0}^t f^{-2}(u)du + \widetilde{e_b}. \tag{3.48}$$

By (3.24), then

$$\mu_1\frac{f'}{f} + [d_b\int_{t_0}^t f^{-2}(u)du + \widetilde{e_b}]\sigma_b = 0; \quad k_0\frac{f'}{f} = 0. \tag{3.49}$$

When $k_0 = 0$, similar to the discussions in [Sa], we can obtain

Theorem 3.11 *Let $M = R^2 \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + ds^2 + f^2(t)g_F$ and K is a Killing vector field given by (3.24) and $\mu_3 = 0$ and $\mu_2 = \overline{k_0}$, then if $\mu_1 = 0$, K can be expressed by*

$$K = \overline{k_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + W_*, \tag{3.50}$$

where W_* is a Killing vector field on F. If $\mu_1 \neq 0$, then $\left(\frac{f'}{f}\right)' f^2 = C_F$ and when $C_F \neq 0$, then K can be expressed by

$$K = \mu_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \overline{k_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \mathrm{grad}_F\mu_1\left(\int_{t_0}^{t} f^{-2}(u)du + \frac{(lnf)'(t_0)}{C_F}\right) + T, \tag{3.51}$$

where T is a Killing vector field on F and $\mathrm{Hess}_F^{\mu_1} + \mu_1 C_F g_F = 0$. If $C_F = 0$ and μ_1 is a nonzero constant, then $K = \mu_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \overline{k_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + W_*$, where W_* is homothetic.

Theorem 3.12 *Let $M = R^2 \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + ds^2 + f^2(t)g_F$ and K is a Killing vector field given by (3.24) and $\mu_3 = k_0 \neq 0$, $\mu_2 = \overline{k_0}$, then $f = l_0$ and K can be expressed by*

$$K = (\mu_1 + sk_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\overline{k_0} + tk_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\mathrm{grad}_F\mu_1}{l_0^2}(t - t_0) + T, \tag{3.52}$$

where T is a Killing vector field on F and $\mathrm{Hess}_F^{\mu_1} = 0$.

Remark. Here we can not consider $M = \mathrm{R}^2 \times F$ as $R \times (R \times_f F)$ with the metric tensor $ds^2 + (-dt^2 + f^2(t)g_F)$. Although the space of conformal Killing vector fields on (F, g_F) is finite dimensional, but the space of Killing vector fields on $(R \times_f F, -dt^2 + f^2(t)g_F)$ maybe is infinite dimensional.

Nextly we consider $M = I \times_f F$ with the metric tensor $-f_1^2(t)dt^2 + f^2(t)g_F$ which generalizes the generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime. We note that $K_a = \frac{1}{f_1(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is the base of the Killing vector fields on $(I, -f_1^2(t)dt^2)$ and $\widehat{K_a} = -f_1(t)dt$. Similar to the discussions in [Sa], we get

Proposition 3.13 *Let $M = I \times_f F$ with the metric tensor $-f_1^2(t)dt^2 + f^2(t)g_F$ and if M admits a non-trivial Killing vector field then $\left(\frac{f'}{ff_1}\right)' \frac{f^2}{f_1} = C_F$. When $C_F \neq 0$, then K can be expressed by*

$$K = \frac{\mu(x)}{f_1(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{(lnf)'(t_0)}{C_F f_1(t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^{t} f_1 f^{-2}du\right)\mathrm{grad}_F\mu + T, \tag{3.53}$$

where T is a Killing vector field on F and $\mathrm{Hess}_F^{\mu} + \mu C_F g_F = 0$. When $C_F = 0$, if μ is a constant, then $K = \frac{\mu_0}{f_1(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + W^*$ where W^* is homothetic. Otherwise $\nabla^F\mu$ is a non-zero parallel field.

We recall the definition of the curl operator on semi-Riemannian manifolds, namely: if V is a vector field on a seni-Riemannian manifold M, then curl$V$ is the antisymmetric 2-covariant tensor defined by

$$\mathrm{curl}V(X,Y) := g_M(\nabla_X V, Y) - g_M(\nabla_Y V, X), \tag{3.54}$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. A vector field V on a semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be non-rotating if curl$V(X,Y) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. By the remark 5.1 in [DU], we know that V is non-rotating iff it is parallel. By Proposition 2.2, then for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TR)$ and $V, W \in \Gamma(TF)$

(1) $\nabla_X Y = \nabla^B_X Y$.

(2) $\nabla_X W = \nabla_W X = \frac{X(f)}{f} W$.

(3) $\nabla_V W = \frac{ff'}{f_1^2} g_F(V,W) + \nabla^F_V W$.

We take a Killing vector field

$$K = \frac{\mu(x)}{f_1(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \int_{t_0}^{t} f_1 f^{-2} du \,\mathrm{grad}_F\mu + W_*, \tag{3.55}$$

Then K is non-rotating iff $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} K = 0$ and $\nabla_V K = 0$ for all $V \in \Gamma(TF)$. Direct computations show that

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\left[\frac{\mu(x)}{f_1(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right] = 2\frac{f_1'(t)}{f_1^2}\mu(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}; \qquad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} W_* = \frac{f'}{f} W_*; \tag{3.56}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\left[\int_{t_0}^{t} f_1 f^{-2} du \,\mathrm{grad}_F\mu\right] = f_1 f^{-2}\mathrm{grad}_F\mu + \int_{t_0}^{t} f_1 f^{-2} du \frac{f'}{f}\mathrm{grad}_F\mu. \tag{3.57}$$

By $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} K = 0$ and (3.56), (3.57) and $\mu \neq 0$, we obtain if $f' \neq 0$, $f_1 = c_0$ is a constant and

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} f_1 f^{-2} du \,\mathrm{grad}_F\mu + W_* = -\frac{f_1}{ff'}\mathrm{grad}_F\mu, \tag{3.58}$$

and

$$K = \frac{\mu(x)}{f_1(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{f_1}{ff'}\mathrm{grad}_F\mu. \tag{3.59}$$

So

$$0 = \nabla_V K = \frac{\mu f'}{ff_1} V - \frac{f_1}{ff'}\nabla^F_V(\mathrm{grad}_F\mu). \tag{3.60}$$

By $\mathrm{Hess}^{\mu}_F + \mu C_F g_F = 0$ and (3.60), we have $(f')^2 = -f_1^2 C_F$, $f = \pm f_1\sqrt{-C_F}t + r_0$.

Proposition 3.14 *Let $M = I \times_f F$ with the metric tensor $-f_1^2(t)dt^2 + f^2(t)g_F$ and if K is a non-trivial non-rotating Killing vector field and $f' \neq 0$, then f_1 is a constant and $f = \pm f_1\sqrt{-C_F}t + r_0$ and K can be expressed by*

$$K = \frac{\mu(x)}{f_1(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathrm{grad}_F\mu}{f_1 C_F t + r_0}. \tag{3.61}$$

4 Multiply twisted products with a semi-symmetric metric connection

4.1 Preliminaries

Let M be a Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric g. A linear connection $\overline{\nabla}$ on a Riemannian manifold M is called a *semi-symmetric connection* if the torsion tensor T of the connection $\overline{\nabla}$

$$T(X,Y)=\overline{\nabla}_XY-\overline{\nabla}_YX-[X,Y] \tag{4.1}$$

satisfies

$$T(X,Y)=\pi(Y)X-\pi(X)Y, \tag{4.2}$$

where π is a 1-form associated with the vector field P on M defined by $\pi(X)=g(X.P)$. $\overline{\nabla}$ is called a *semi-symmetric metric connection* if it satisfies $\overline{\nabla}g=0$. If ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of M, the semi-symmetric metric connection $\overline{\nabla}$ is given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_XY=\nabla_XY+\pi(Y)X-g(X,Y)P, \tag{4.3}$$

(see [Ya]). Let R and $\overline{R}$ be the curvature tensors of ∇ and $\overline{\nabla}$ respectively. Then R and $\overline{R}$ are related by

$$\overline{R}(X,Y)Z=R(X,Y)Z+g(Z,\nabla_XP)Y-g(Z,\nabla_YP)X$$

$$+g(X,Z)\nabla_YP-g(Y,Z)\nabla_XP+\pi(P)[g(X,Z)Y-g(Y,Z)X]$$

$$+[g(Y,Z)\pi(X)-g(X,Z)\pi(Y)]P+\pi(Z)[\pi(Y)X-\pi(X)Y], \tag{4.4}$$

for any vector fields X,Y,Z on M [Ya]. By (4.3) and Proposition 2.2, we have

Proposition 4.1 *Let $M=B\times_{b_1}F_1\times_{b_2}F_2\cdots\times_{b_m}F_m$ be a multiply twisted product and let $X,Y\in\Gamma(TB)$ and $U\in\Gamma(TF_i)$, $W\in\Gamma(TF_j)$ and $P\in\Gamma(TB)$. Then*
(1) $\overline{\nabla}_XY=\overline{\nabla}^B_XY.$
(2) $\overline{\nabla}_XU=\frac{X(b_i)}{b_i}U.$
(3) $\overline{\nabla}_UX=[\frac{X(b_i)}{b_i}+\pi(X)]U.$
(4) $\overline{\nabla}_UW=0\ \ if\ i\neq j.$
(5) $\overline{\nabla}_UW=U(lnb_i)W+W(lnb_i)U-\frac{g_{F_i}(U,W)}{b_i}\mathrm{grad}_{F_i}b_i-b_ig_{F_i}(U,W)\mathrm{grad}_Bb_i+\nabla^{F_i}_UW-g(U,W)P\ \ if\ i=j.$

Proposition 4.2 *Let $M=B\times_{b_1}F_1\times_{b_2}F_2\cdots\times_{b_m}F_m$ be a multiply twisted product and let $X,Y\in\Gamma(TB)$ and $U\in\Gamma(TF_i)$, $W\in\Gamma(TF_j)$ and $P\in\Gamma(TF_k)$. Then*
(1) $\overline{\nabla}_XY=\nabla^B_XY-g(X,Y)P.$
(2) $\overline{\nabla}_XU=\frac{X(b_i)}{b_i}U+g(P,U)X.$
(3) $\overline{\nabla}_UX=\frac{X(b_i)}{b_i}U.$
(4) $\overline{\nabla}_UW=g(W,P)U\ \ if\ i\neq j.$

(5) $\overline{\nabla}_U W = U(lnb_i)W + W(lnb_i)U - \frac{g_{F_i}(U,W)}{b_i}\mathrm{grad}_{F_i} b_i - b_i g_{F_i}(U,W)\mathrm{grad}_B b_i + \nabla^{F_i}_U W + \pi(W)U - g(U,W)P \quad if\ i = j.$

By (4.4) and Proposition 2.4, we have

Proposition 4.3 *Let $M = B\times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply twisted product and let $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TB)$ and $V \in \Gamma(TF_i)$, $W \in \Gamma(TF_j)$, $U \in \Gamma(TF_k)$ and $P \in \Gamma(TB)$. Then*
(1)$\overline{R}(X,Y)Z = \overline{R}^B(X,Y)Z.$
(2)$\overline{R}(V,X)Y = -\left[\frac{H^{b_i}_B(X,Y)}{b_i} + \frac{P(b_i)}{b_i}g(X,Y) + \pi(P)g(X,Y) + g(Y,\nabla_X P) - \pi(X)\pi(Y)\right]V.$
(3)$\overline{R}(X,V)W = \overline{R}(V,W)X = \overline{R}(V,X)W = 0\ if\ i \neq j.$
(4)$\overline{R}(X,Y)V = 0.$
(5)$\overline{R}(V,W)X = VX(lnb_i)W - WX(lnb_i)V\ \ if\ \ i = j.$
(6)$\overline{R}(V,W)U = 0\ if\ i = j \neq k\ ori \neq j \neq k.$
(7)$\overline{R}(U,V)W = -g(V,W)\frac{g_B(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i, \mathrm{grad}_B b_k)}{b_i b_k}U - g(V,W)\left(\frac{P(b_i)}{b_i} + \frac{P(b_k)}{b_k}\right)U$
$\quad - \pi(P)g(V,W)U,\ \ if\ \ i = j \neq k.$
(8)$\overline{R}(X,V)W = [WX(lnb_i)]V - g(W,V)$
$\cdot\left[\frac{\nabla^B_X(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i)}{b_i} + \frac{\mathrm{grad}_{F_i}(Xlnb_i)}{b_i^2} + \frac{P(b_i)}{b_i}X + \nabla_X P + \pi(P)X - \pi(X)P\right]\ \ if\ \ i = j.$
(9)$\overline{R}(U,V)W = g(U,W)\mathrm{grad}_B(V(lnb_i)) - g(V,W)\mathrm{grad}_B(U(lnb_i)) + R^{F_i}(U,V)W - \left(\frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|^2_B}{b_i^2} + 2\frac{P(b_i)}{b_i} + \pi(P)\right)(g(V,W)U - g(U,W)V)\ if\ \ i = j = k.$

Proposition 4.4 *Let $M = B\times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply twisted product and let $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TB)$ and $V \in \Gamma(TF_i)$, $W \in \Gamma(TF_j)$, $U \in \Gamma(TF_k)$ and $P \in \Gamma(TF_l)$. Then*
(1)$\overline{R}(X,Y)Z = R^B(X,Y)Z + \left[g(X,Z)\frac{Yb_l}{b_l} - g(Y,Z)\frac{Xb_l}{b_l}\right]P + \pi(P)[g(X,Z)Y - g(Y,Z)X].$
(2)$\overline{R}(V,X)Y = -\frac{H^{b_i}_B(X,Y)}{b_i}V - \pi(P)g(X,Y)V\ if\ i \neq l$
(3)$\overline{R}(V,X)Y = -\frac{H^{b_i}_B(X,Y)}{b_i}V - \pi(V)\frac{Y(b_i)}{b_i}X - g(X,Y)\nabla_V P - g(X,Y)[\pi(P)V - \pi(V)P]\ if\ i = l$
(4)$\overline{R}(X,V)W = \frac{X(b_l)}{b_l}\pi(W)V\ \ if\ \ i \neq j.$
(5)$\overline{R}(V,W)X = -\delta^l_i\frac{\pi(V)}{b_i}X(b_i)W + \delta^l_j\frac{\pi(W)}{b_j}X(b_j)V\ \ if\ \ i \neq j.$
(6)$\overline{R}(X,Y)V = \pi(V)\left[\frac{X(b_l)}{b_l}Y - \frac{Y(b_l)}{b_l}X\right].$
(7)$\overline{R}(V,W)X = VX(lnb_i)W - WX(lnb_i)V - \delta^l_i\frac{X(b_i)}{b_i}[\pi(V)W - \pi(W)V]\ if\ i = j.$
(8)$\overline{R}(V,W)U = 0\ if\ i = j \neq k\ ori \neq j \neq k.$
(9)$\overline{R}(U,V)W = -g(V,W)\frac{g_B(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i, \mathrm{grad}_B b_k)}{b_i b_k}U - g(W,\nabla_V P)U - g(V,W)\nabla_U P - \pi(P)g(V,W)U + g(V,W)\pi(U)P + \pi(W)[\pi(V)U - \pi(U)V],\ \ if\ \ i = j \neq k.$
(10)$\overline{R}(X,V)W = [WX(lnb_i)]V - g(W,V)\frac{\nabla^B_X(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i)}{b_i} - \mathrm{grad}_{F_i}(Xlnb_i)g_{F_i}(W,V) + \frac{X(b_l)}{b_l}\pi(W)V - g(W,\nabla_V P)X - g(V,W)\frac{X(b_l)}{b_l}P - g(V,W)\pi(P)X + \pi(V)\pi(W)X\ if\ i = j.$
(11)$\overline{R}(U,V)W = g(U,W)\mathrm{grad}_B(V(lnb_i)) - g(V,W)\mathrm{grad}_B(U(lnb_i)) + R^{F_i}(U,V)W -$

$\frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|_B^2}{b_i^2}(g(V,W)U - g(U,W)V) + \pi(P)[g(U,W)V - g(V,W)U]$ *if* $i = j = k \neq l$.
(12)$\overline{R}(U,V)W = g(U,W)\mathrm{grad}_B(V(lnb_i)) - g(V,W)\mathrm{grad}_B(U(lnb_i)) + R^{F_i}(U,V)W - \frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|_B^2}{b_i^2}(g(V,W)U - g(U,W)V) + g(W,\nabla_U P)V - g(W,\nabla_V P)U + g(U,W)\nabla_V P - g(V,W)\nabla_U P + \pi(P)[g(U,W)V - g(V,W)U] + [g(V,W)\pi(U) - g(U,W)\pi(V)]P + \pi(W)[\pi(V)U - \pi(U)V]$ *if* $i = j = k = l$.

By proposition 4.3 and 4.4, we have

Proposition 4.5 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product and let* $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TB)$ *and* $V \in \Gamma(TF_i)$, $W \in \Gamma(TF_j)$ *and* $P \in \Gamma(TB)$. *Then*
(1)$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,Y) = \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}^B(X,Y) + \sum_{i=1}^m l_i \Big[\frac{H_B^{b_i}(X,Y)}{b_i} + \frac{P(b_i)}{b_i}g(X,Y) + \pi(P)g(X,Y)$
$+ g(Y,\nabla_X P) - \pi(X)\pi(Y)\Big]$.
(2)$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,V) = \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(V,X) = (l_i - 1)[VX(lnb_i)]$.
(3)$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(V,W) = 0$ *if* $i \neq j$.
(4)$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(V,W) = \mathrm{Ric}^{F_i}(V,W) + \Big[\frac{\triangle_B b_i}{b_i} + (l_i - 1)\frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|_B^2}{b_i^2} + \sum_{j\neq i} l_j \frac{g_B(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i, \mathrm{grad}_B b_j)}{b_i b_j}$
$+(\overline{n} - 2)\pi(P) + \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k < \nabla_{E_k}P, E_k > + \sum_{j\neq i} l_j \frac{Pb_j}{b_j} + (\overline{n} + l_i - 2)\frac{Pb_i}{b_i}\Big] g(V,W)$ *if* $i = j$,
where E_k, $1 \leq k \leq n$ *is an orthonormal base of* B *with* $\varepsilon_k = g(E_k, E_k)$ *and* $\mathrm{dim}B = n$, $\mathrm{dim}M = \overline{n}$.

Corollary 4.6 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product and* $\mathrm{dim}F_i > 1$ *and* $P \in \Gamma(TB)$, *then* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *is mixed Ricci-flat if and only if* M *can be expressed as a multiply warped product. In particular, if* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *is Einstein, then* M *can be expressed as a multiply warped product.*

Proposition 4.7 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product and let* $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TB)$ *and* $V \in \Gamma(TF_i)$, $W \in \Gamma(TF_j)$ *and* $P \in \Gamma(TF_r)$. *Then*
(1)$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,Y) = \mathrm{Ric}^B(X,Y) + \sum_{i=1}^m l_i \frac{H_B^{b_i}(X,Y)}{b_i} + g(X,Y)\pi(P)(\overline{n} - 2)$
$+ g(X,Y)\sum_{j_r=1}^{l_r} \varepsilon_{j_r} g(\nabla_{E_{j_r}^r} P, E_{j_r}^r)$.
(2)$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,V) = (l_i - 1)[VX(lnb_i)] + (\overline{n} - 2)\frac{X(b_r)}{b_r}\pi(V)$.
(3)$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(V,X) = (l_i - 1)[VX(lnb_i)] + (2 - \overline{n})\frac{X(b_r)}{b_r}\pi(V)$.
(3)$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(V,W) = 0$ *if* $i \neq j$.
(4)$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(V,W) = \mathrm{Ric}^{F_i}(V,W) + g(V,W)\Big[\frac{\triangle_B b_i}{b_i} + (l_i - 1)\frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|_B^2}{b_i^2} + \sum_{j\neq i} l_j \frac{g_B(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i, \mathrm{grad}_B b_j)}{b_i b_j}$
$+(\overline{n} - 2)\pi(P)\Big] + (\overline{n} - 2)g(W, \nabla_V P) + (2 - \overline{n})\pi(V)\pi(W) + g(V,W)\mathrm{div}_{F_r}P$ *if* $i = j$,

Corollary 4.8 *Let* $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ *be a multiply twisted product and* $\mathrm{dim}F_i > 1$ *and* $P \in \Gamma(TF_r)$, *then* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *is mixed Ricci-flat if and only if* M *can be expressed as a multiply warped product and* b_r *is only dependent on* F_r. *In particular, if* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *is Einstein, then* M *can be expressed as a multiply warped product.*

Proposition 4.9 *Let $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply twisted product and $P \in \Gamma(TB)$, then the scalar curvature $\overline{S}$ has the following expression:*

$$\overline{S} = \overline{S}^B + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{l_i}{b_i} \triangle_B b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{S^{F_i}}{b_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i(l_i - 1)\frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|_B^2}{b_i^2}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j\neq i} l_i l_j \frac{g_B(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i, \mathrm{grad}_B b_j)}{b_i b_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i(n + \overline{n} + l_i - 2)\frac{P(b_i)}{b_i}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j\neq i} l_i l_j \frac{P(b_j)}{b_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i(n + \overline{n} - 3)\pi(P) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i \mathrm{div}_B P. \tag{4.5}$$

Proposition 4.10 *Let $M = B \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply twisted product and $P \in \Gamma(TF_r)$, then the scalar curvature $\overline{S}$ has the following expression:*

$$\overline{S} = S^B + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{l_i}{b_i} \triangle_B b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{S^{F_i}}{b_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i(l_i - 1)\frac{|\mathrm{grad}_B b_i|_B^2}{b_i^2}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j\neq i} l_i l_j \frac{g_B(\mathrm{grad}_B b_i, \mathrm{grad}_B b_j)}{b_i b_j} + \pi(P)(\overline{n} - 1)(\overline{n} - 2) + 2(\overline{n} - 1)\mathrm{div}_{F_r} P. \tag{4.6}$$

4.2 Special multiply warped product with a semi-symmetric connection

Let $M = I \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply warped product with the metric tensor $-dt^2 \oplus b_1^2 g_{F_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus b_m^2 g_{F_m}$ and I is an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $b_i \in C^\infty(I)$.

Theorem 4.11 *Let $M = I \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply warped product with the metric tensor $-dt^2 \oplus b_1^2 g_{F_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus b_m^2 g_{F_m}$ and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Then $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied for any $i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$*

(1)(F_i, ∇^{F_i}) is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ_i, $i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$.

(2) $\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i \left(\frac{b_i'}{b_i} - \frac{b_i''}{b_i}\right) = \lambda$.

(3)$\lambda_i - b_i b_i'' - (l_i - 1)b_i'^2 + (b_i^2 - b_i b_i')\sum_{j\neq i} l_j \frac{b_j'}{b_j} + (2 - \overline{n})b_i^2 + (\overline{n} + l_i - 2)b_i b_i' = \lambda b_i^2$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i \left(\frac{b_i'}{b_i} - \frac{b_i''}{b_i}\right); \tag{4.7}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, V\right) = \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}\left(V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = 0; \tag{4.8}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}\,(V,W) = \mathrm{Ric}^{F_i}(V,W) + g_{F_i}(V,W)\left[-b_ib_i" - (l_i-1)b_i'^2 + (b_i^2 - b_ib_i')\sum_{j\neq i} l_j\frac{b_j'}{b_j}\right.$$
$$\left. +(2-\overline{n})b_i^2 + (\overline{n}+l_i-2)b_ib_i'\right]. \tag{4.9}$$

By (4.7)-(4.9) and the Einstein condition, we get the above theorem. $\square$

Theorem 4.12 *Let $M = I\times_{b_1}F_1\times_{b_2}F_2\cdots\times_{b_m}F_m$ be a multiply warped product with the metric tensor $-dt^2\oplus b_1^2g_{F_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus b_m^2g_{F_m}$ and $P\in\Gamma(TF_r)$ with $g_{F_r}(P,P)=1$ and $\overline{n}>2$. Then $(M,\overline{\nabla})$ is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied for any $i\in\{1,\cdots,m\}$*
(1)(F_i,∇^{F_i}) $(i\neq r)$ *is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ_i, $i\in\{1,\cdots,m\}$.*
(2)b_r *is a constant and* $\sum_{i=1}^m l_i\frac{b_i''}{b_i}=\mu_0;\ \mathrm{div}_{F_r}P=\mu_1,\ \mu_0-\mu_1+\lambda=(2-\overline{n})b_r^2$, *where μ_0,μ_1 are constants.*
(3)$\mathrm{Ric}^{F_r}(V,W)+\overline{\lambda}g_{F_r}(V,W) = (\overline{n}-2)\left[\pi(V)\pi(W)-g(W,\nabla_VP)\right],\ for\ V,W\in\Gamma(TF_r).$
(4)$\lambda_i - b_ib_i'' + (\overline{n}-2)b_i^2b_r^2 - b_ib_i'\sum_{j\neq i}l_j\frac{b_j'}{b_j} - (l_i-1)b_i'^2 = (\lambda-\mu_1)b_i^2.$

Proof. By Proposition 4.7 (2) and $g_{F_r}(P,P)=1$, we have b_r is a constant. By Proposition 4.7, then ,

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m l_i\frac{b_i''}{b_i} + (2-\overline{n})b_r^2 - \mathrm{div}_{F_r}P = -\lambda; \tag{4.10}$$

By variables separation, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m l_i\frac{b_i''}{b_i} = \mu_0,\ \mathrm{div}_{F_r}P=\mu_1.\ \mu_0-\mu_1+\lambda = (2-\overline{n})b_r^2,. \tag{4.11}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(V,W) = \mathrm{Ric}^{F_i}(V,W) + b_i^2g_{F_i}(V,W)\left[-\frac{b_i''}{b_i} + (l_i-1)\frac{-b_i'^2}{b_i^2} + \sum_{j\neq i}l_j\frac{-b_i'b_j'}{b_ib_j}\right.$$
$$\left. +(\overline{n}-2)\pi(P)\right] + (\overline{n}-2)g(W,\nabla_VP) + (2-\overline{n})\pi(V)\pi(W) + g(V,W)\mathrm{div}_{F_r}P. \tag{4.12}$$

When $i\neq r$, then $\nabla_VP=\pi(V)=0$, so

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(V,W) = \mathrm{Ric}^{F_i}(V,W) + b_i^2g_{F_i}(V,W)\left[-\frac{b_i''}{b_i} + (l_i-1)\frac{-b_i'^2}{b_i^2} + \sum_{j\neq i}l_j\frac{-b_i'b_j'}{b_ib_j}\right.$$
$$\left. +(\overline{n}-2)b_r^2\right] + \mu_1b_i^2g_{F_i}(V,W) = \lambda b_i^2g_{F_i}(V,W). \tag{4.13}$$

By variables separation, we have (F_i,∇^{F_i}) $(i\neq r)$ is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ_i and

$$\lambda_i - b_ib_i'' + (\overline{n}-2)b_i^2b_r^2 - b_ib_i'\sum_{j\neq i}l_j\frac{b_j'}{b_j} - (l_i-1)b_i'^2 = (\lambda-\mu_1)b_i^2. \tag{4.14}$$

When $i = r$ and b_r is a constant, then

$$\mathrm{Ric}^{F_i}(V,W) + b_r^2[(\overline{n}-2)b_r^2 + \mu_1 - \lambda]g_{F_i}(V,W) = (\overline{n}-2)\left[\pi(V)\pi(W) - g(W, \nabla_V P)\right]. \tag{4.15}$$

So we prove the above theorem. $\square$

When $M = I \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply warped product and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, by Proposition 4.9, we have

$$\overline{S} = -2\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i \frac{b_i''}{b_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{S^{F_i}}{b_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i(l_i-1)\frac{-b_i'^2}{b_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j\neq i} l_i l_j \frac{-b_i b_j}{b_i b_j}$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i(\overline{n}+l_i-1)\frac{b_i'}{b_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j\neq i} l_i l_j \frac{b_j'}{b_j} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i(\overline{n}-2). \tag{4.16}$$

The following result just follows from the method of separation of variables and the fact that each S^{F_i} is function defined on F_i.

Proposition 4.13 *Let $M = I \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply warped product and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. If $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ has constant scalar curvature $\overline{S}$, then each (F_i, ∇^{F_i}) has constant scalar curvature S^{F_i}.*

When $P \in \Gamma(TF_r)$, by Proposition 4.10, we have

$$\overline{S} = -2\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i \frac{b_i''}{b_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{S^{F_i}}{b_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i(l_i-1)\frac{-b_i'^2}{b_i^2}$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j\neq i} l_i l_j \frac{-b_i' b_j'}{b_i b_j} + \pi(P)(\overline{n}-1)(\overline{n}-2) + 2(\overline{n}-1)\mathrm{div}_{F_r}P. \tag{4.17}$$

Proposition 4.14 *Let $M = I \times_{b_1} F_1 \times_{b_2} F_2 \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ be a multiply warped product and $P \in \Gamma(TF_r)$. If $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ has constant scalar curvature $\overline{S}$, then each (F_i, ∇^{F_i}) $(i \neq r)$ has constant scalar curvature S^{F_i} and if $g_{F_r}(P,P)$ and $\mathrm{div}_{F_r}P$ are constants, then S^{F_r} is also a constant.*

4.3 Generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection

In this section, we study $M = I \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$. As a corollary of Theorem 4.11, we obtain:

Corollary 4.15 *Let $M = I \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$ and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Then $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ if and only if the following*

conditions are satisfied
$(1)(F,\nabla^F)$ *is Einstein with the Einstein constant* λ_F.
$(2)\ l\left(\frac{f'}{f}-\frac{f''}{f}\right)=\lambda.$
$(3)\lambda_F - ff" + (1-l)f'^2 + (1-l-\lambda)f^2 + (2l-1)f'f = 0.$

Remark. In Theorem 5.1 in [SO], they got the Einstein condition of $M = I\times F$ with a semi-symmetric metric connection, but they did not consider the above conditions (2) and (3).

Corollary 4.16 *Let* $M = I \times F$ *with the metric tensor* $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$ *and* $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ *and* $\dim F = 1$. *Then* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *is Einstein with the Einstein constant* λ *if and only if* $f'' = f' - \lambda f$.

By Corollary 4.15 (2) and (3), we get

Corollary 4.17 *Let* $M = I \times F$ *with the metric tensor* $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$ *and* $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ *and* $\dim F > 1$. *Then* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *is Einstein with the Einstein constant* λ *if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*
$(1)(F,\nabla^F)$ *is Einstein with the Einstein constant* λ_F.
$(2)\ f'' = f' - \frac{\lambda}{l}f.$
$(3)\frac{\lambda_F}{1-l} + f'^2 + (1+\frac{\lambda}{l})f^2 - 2ff' = 0.$

By Corollary 4.16 and elementary methods for ordinary differential equations, we get

Theorem 4.18 *Let* $M = I \times F$ *with the metric tensor* $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$ *and* $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ *and* $\dim F = 1$. *Then* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *is Einstein with the Einstein constant* λ *if and only if*
$(1)\ \lambda < \frac{1}{4},\ \ f(t) = c_1 e^{\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\lambda}}{2}t} + c_2 e^{\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\lambda}}{2}t},$
$(2)\ \lambda = \frac{1}{4},\ \ f(t) = c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}t} + c_2 t e^{\frac{1}{2}t},$
$(3)\ \lambda > \frac{1}{4},\ \ f(t) = c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{4\lambda-1}}{2}t\right) + c_2 e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{4\lambda-1}}{2}t\right),$

Let $\frac{\lambda}{l} = d_0$, $\frac{\lambda_F}{1-l} = \overline{d_0}$, $\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4d_0}}{2} = a_0$, $\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4d_0}}{2} = b_0$, then $a_0 + b_0 = 1, d_0 = a_0 b_0$. When $\dim F > 1$, by Corollary 4.17 (2)

Case i) $d_0 < \frac{1}{4}$, then $f = c_1 e^{a_0 t} + c_2 e^{b_0 t}$. By Corollary 4.17 (3), then

$$\overline{d_0} + c_1^2(a_0^2 + 1 + a_0 b_0 - 2a_0)e^{2a_0 t} + c_2^2(b_0^2 + 1 + a_0 b_0 - 2b_0)e^{2b_0 t}$$
$$+2c_1 c_2(2a_0 b_0 + 1 - a_0 - b_0)e^{(a_0+b_0)t} = 0. \qquad (4.18)$$

When $b_0 = 0$, we get $d_0 = 0,\ a_0 = 1, \lambda = 0$. By (4.18), $\overline{d_0} + c_2^2 = 0$, so $\lambda_F = (l-1)c_2^2$. In this case $f = c_1 e^t + c_2$. When $b \neq 0$, then $e^{2a_0 t}$, $e^{2b_0 t}$ and $e^{(a_0+b_0)t}$ are linear independent, so $c_2^2(b_0^2 + 1 + a_0 b_0 - 2b_0) = c_2^2(1-b_0) = 0$ and $c_2 = 0$. Then $c_1 \neq 0$, by $c_1^2(a_0^2 + 1 + a_0 b_0 - 2a_0) = c_1^2(1-a_0) = 0$, so $a_0 = 1$, then $d_0 = \lambda = 0$. Thus $f = c_1 e^t$.

Case ii) $d_0 = \frac{1}{4}$, then $f = c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}t} + c_2 t e^{\frac{1}{2}t}$. By Corollary 4.17 (3), then

$$\overline{d_0} + e^t \left[(\frac{1}{2}c_1 + c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_2 t)^2 + \frac{5}{4}(c_1 + c_2 t)^2 - 2(\frac{1}{2}c_1 + c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_2 t)(c_1 + c_2 t) \right] = 0. \tag{4.19}$$

The coefficient of t^2e^t is $\frac{1}{2}c_2^2$, so $c_2 = 0$. The coefficient of e^t is $(\frac{1}{2}c_1 + c_2)^2 + \frac{5}{4}(c_1)^2 - 2c_1(\frac{1}{2}c_1 + c_2)$, so $c_1 = 0$, in this case we have no solutions.

Case iii) $d_0 > \frac{1}{4}$, then $f(t) = c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\mathrm{cos}(h_0 t) + c_2 e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\mathrm{sin}(h_0 t)$, where $h_0 = \frac{\sqrt{4d_0 - 1}}{2}$. By Corollary 4.17 (3), then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{d_0} + e^t \Big\{ \Big[(\frac{c_1}{2} + c_2 h_0)\mathrm{cos}(h_0 t) + (\frac{c_2}{2} - c_1 h_0)\mathrm{sin}(h_0 t) \Big]^2 \\ + (1 + d_0)(c_1 \mathrm{cos}(h_0 t) + c_2 \mathrm{sin}(h_0 t))^2 \\ -2(c_1 \mathrm{cos}(h_0 t) + c_2 \mathrm{sin}(h_0 t)) \Big[(\frac{c_1}{2} + c_2 h_0)\mathrm{cos}(h_0 t) + (\frac{c_2}{2} - c_1 h_0)\mathrm{sin}(h_0 t) \Big] \Big\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Consider the coefficients of $\cos^2(h_0 t)e^t$ and $\sin^2(h_0 t)e^t$, we get

$$(\frac{1}{4} + d_0)c_1^2 + c_2^2 h_0^2 - c_1 c_2 h_0 = 0; \quad (\frac{1}{4} + d_0)c_2^2 + c_1^2 h_0^2 + c_1 c_2 h_0 = 0. \tag{4.21}$$

Plusing the above two equalities ,then $\frac{1}{4} + d_0 + h_0^2 = 0$ and $d_0 = 0$. There is a contradiction with $d_0 > \frac{1}{4}$ and in this case we have no solutions. So we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.19 *Let $M = I \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$ and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and* $\mathrm{dim}F > 1$. *Then $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ if and only if $\lambda = 0$ and $f = c_1 e^t + c_2$ and (F, ∇^F) is Einstein with the Einstein constant $(l-1)c_2^2$.*

By (4.16) and (4.17), we have

Corollary 4.20 *Let Let $M = I \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$ and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. If $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ has constant scalar curvature $\overline{S}$ if and only if (F, ∇^F) has constant scalar curvature S^F and*

$$\overline{S} = \frac{S^F}{f^2} - 2l\frac{f''}{f} - l(l-1)\frac{f'^2}{f^2} + 2l^2\frac{f'}{f} + (1-l)l. \tag{4.22}$$

Corollary 4.21 *Let Let $M = I \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$ and $P \in \Gamma(TF)$ and $g_F(P,P) = c_0$,* $\mathrm{div}_{F_r}P = c_0'$. *If $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ has constant scalar curvature $\overline{S}$ if and only if (F, ∇^F) has constant scalar curvature S^F and*

$$\overline{S} = \frac{S^F}{f^2} - 2l\frac{f''}{f} - l(l-1)\frac{f'^2}{f^2} + c_0(l-1)lf^2 + 2c_0' l. \tag{4.23}$$

In (4.22), we make the change of variable $f(t) = \sqrt{v(t)}$ and have the following equation

$$v''(t) + \frac{l-3}{4}\frac{v'(t)^2}{v(t)} - lv'(t) + (l - 1 + \frac{\overline{S}}{l})v(t) - \frac{S^F}{l} = 0. \qquad (4.24)$$

Theorem 4.22 *Let $M = I \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$ and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\mathrm{dim}F = l = 3$. If $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ has constant scalar curvature $\overline{S}$ if and only if (F, ∇^F) has constant scalar curvature S^F and*

(1) $\overline{S} < \frac{3}{4}$ and $\overline{S} \neq -6$, $v(t) = c_1 e^{\frac{3+\sqrt{1-\frac{4}{3}\overline{S}}}{2}t} + c_2 e^{\frac{3-\sqrt{1-\frac{4}{3}\overline{S}}}{2}t} + \frac{S^F}{6+\overline{S}}$.

(2) $\overline{S} = \frac{3}{4}$, $v(t) = c_1 e^{\frac{3}{2}t} + c_2 t e^{\frac{3}{2}t} + \frac{S^F}{6+\overline{S}}$.

(3) $\overline{S} > \frac{3}{4}$, $v(t) = c_1 e^{\frac{3}{2}t}\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}\overline{S}-1}}{2}t\right) + c_2 e^{\frac{3}{2}t}\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}\overline{S}-1}}{2}t\right) + \frac{S^F}{6+\overline{S}}$.

(4) $\overline{S} = -6$, $v(t) = c_1 - \frac{S^F}{9}t + c_2 e^{3t}$.

Proof. If $l = 3$, then we have a simple differential equation

$$v''(t) - 3v'(t) + (2 + \frac{\overline{S}}{3})v(t) - \frac{S^F}{3} = 0. \qquad (4.25)$$

If $\overline{S} \neq -6$, we putting $h(t) = (2 + \frac{\overline{S}}{3})v(t) - \frac{S^F}{3}$, it follows that $h''(t) - 3h'(t) + (2 + \frac{\overline{S}}{3})h(t) = 0$. The above solutions (1)-(3) follow directly from elementary methods for ordinary differential equations. When $\overline{S} = -6$, then $v''(t) - 3v'(t) - \frac{S^F}{3} = 0$, we get the solution (4). $\square$

Theorem 4.23 *Let $M = I \times F$ with the metric tensor $-dt^2 + f(t)^2 g_F$ and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\mathrm{dim}F = l \neq 3$ and $S^F = 0$. If $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ has constant scalar curvature $\overline{S}$ if and only if*

(1) $\overline{S} < \frac{l}{l+1}$, $v(t) = \left(c_1 e^{\frac{l+\sqrt{1-\frac{l+1}{l}\overline{S}}}{2}t} + c_2 e^{\frac{l-\sqrt{1-\frac{l+1}{l}\overline{S}}}{2}t}\right)^{\frac{4}{l+1}}$.

(2) $\overline{S} = \frac{l}{l+1}$, $v(t) = \left(c_1 e^{\frac{l}{2}t} + c_2 t e^{\frac{l}{2}t}\right)^{\frac{4}{l+1}}$.

(3) $\overline{S} > \frac{l}{l+1}$, $v(t) = \left(c_1 e^{\frac{l}{2}t}\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{l+1}{l}\overline{S}-1}}{2}t\right) + c_2 e^{\frac{l}{2}t}\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{l+1}{l}\overline{S}-1}}{2}t\right)\right)^{\frac{4}{l+1}}$.

Proof. In this case, the equation (4.24) is changed into the simpler form

$$\frac{v''(t)}{v(t)} + \frac{l-3}{4}\frac{v'(t)^2}{v(t)^2} - l\frac{v'(t)}{v(t)} + (l - 1 + \frac{\overline{S}}{l}) = 0. \qquad (4.26)$$

Putting $v(t) = w(t)^{\frac{4}{l+1}}$, then $w(t)$ satisfies the equation $w'' - lw' + \frac{(l+1)}{4}(l - 1 + \frac{\overline{S}}{l})w = 0$, by the elementary methods for ordinary differential equations, we prove the above theorem. $\square$

When $\dim F = l \neq 3$ and $S^F \neq 0$, putting $v(t) = w(t)^{\frac{4}{l+1}}$, then $w(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$w'' - lw' + \frac{(l+1)}{4}(l - 1 + \frac{\overline{S}}{l})w - \frac{(l+1)}{4}\frac{S^F}{l}w^{1-\frac{4}{l+1}} = 0. \qquad (4.27)$$

4.4 Generalized Kasner spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection

In this section, we consider the scalar and Ricci curvature of generalized Kasner spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection. We recall the definition of generalized Kasner spacetimes ([DU1]).

Definition 4.24 A generalized Kasner spacetime (M, g) is a Lorentzian multiply warped product of the form $M = I \times_{\phi^{p_1}} F_1 \times \cdots \times_{\phi^{p_m}} F_m$ with the metric $g = -dt^2 \oplus \phi^{2p_1} g_{F_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi^{2p_m} g_{F_m}$, where $\phi : I \to (0, \infty)$ is smooth and $p_i \in \mathbb{R}$, for any $i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$ and also $I = (t_1, t_2)$.

We introduce the following parameters $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^m l_i p_i$ and $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^m l_i p_i^2$ for generalized Kasner spacetimes. By Theorem 4.11 and direct computations, we get

Proposition 4.25 *Let* $M = I \times_{\phi^{p_1}} F_1 \times \cdots \times_{\phi^{p_m}} F_m$ *be a generalized Kasner spacetime and* $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. *Then* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *is Einstein with the Einstein constant* λ *if and only if the following conditions are satisfied for any* $i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$
(1)(F_i, ∇^{F_i}) *is Einstein with the Einstein constant* λ_i, $i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$.
(2) $\zeta\left(\frac{\phi' - \phi''}{\phi}\right) - (\eta - \zeta)\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} = \lambda$.
(3)$\frac{\lambda_i}{\phi^{2p_i}} - p_i\frac{\phi''}{\phi} - (\zeta - 1)p_i\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + [\zeta + (\overline{n} - 2)p_i]\frac{\phi'}{\phi} = \overline{n} + \lambda - 2$.

By (4.16) we obtain

Proposition 4.26 *Let* $M = I \times_{\phi^{p_1}} F_1 \times \cdots \times_{\phi^{p_m}} F_m$ *be a generalized Kasner spacetime and* $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. *Then* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *has constant scalar curvature* $\overline{S}$ *if and only if each* (F_i, ∇^{F_i}) *has constant scalar curvature* S^{F_i} *and*

$$\overline{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{S^{F_i}}{\phi^{2p_i}} - 2\zeta\frac{\phi''}{\phi} - (\eta + \zeta^2 - 2\zeta)\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + 2(\overline{n} - 1)\zeta\frac{\phi'}{\phi} + (2 - \overline{n})(\overline{n} - 1). \qquad (4.28)$$

Nextly, we first give a classification of four-dimensional generalized Kasner spacetimes with a semi-symmetric metric connection and then consider Ricci tensors and scalar curvatures of them.

Definition 4.27 Let $M = I \times_{b_1} F_1 \times \cdots \times_{b_m} F_m$ with the metric $g = -dt^2 \oplus b_1^2 g_{F_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus b_m^2 g_{F_m}$.
· (M, g) is said to be of Type (I) if $m = 1$ and $\dim(F) = 3$.
· (M, g) is said to be of Type (II) if $m = 2$ and $\dim(F_1) = 1$ and $\dim(F_2) = 2$.
· (M, g) is said to be of Type (III) if $m = 3$ and $\dim(F_1) = 1$, $\dim(F_2) = 1$ and $\dim(F_3) = 1$.

By Theorem 4.19 and 4.22, we have given a classification of Type (I) Einstein spaces and Type (I) spaces with the constant scalar curvature.

· **Classification of Einstein Type (II) generalized Kasner space-times with a semi-symmetric metric connection**

Let $M = I \times_{\phi^{p_1}} F_1 \times_{\phi^{p_2}} F_2$ be an Einstein type (II) generalized Kasner spacetime and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Then $\zeta = p_1 + 2p_2$, $\eta = p_1^2 + 2p_2^2$. By Proposition (4.25), we have

$$\zeta \left(\frac{\phi' - \phi''}{\phi} \right) - (\eta - \zeta) \frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} = \lambda, \tag{4.29i}$$

$$-p_1 \frac{\phi''}{\phi} - (\zeta - 1) p_1 \frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + [\zeta + 2p_1] \frac{\phi'}{\phi} = \lambda + 2, \tag{4.29ii}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\phi^{2p_2}} - p_2 \frac{\phi''}{\phi} - (\zeta - 1) p_2 \frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + [\zeta + 2p_2] \frac{\phi'}{\phi} = \lambda + 2, \tag{4.29iii}$$

where λ_2 is a constant. Consider following two cases:

Case i) $\underline{\zeta = 0}$

In this case, $p_2 = -\frac{1}{2} p_1$, $\eta = \frac{3}{2} p_1^2$. Then by (4.29), we have

$$-\eta \frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} = \lambda, \tag{4.30i}$$

$$p_1 \left(-\frac{\phi''}{\phi} + \frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + 2\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right) = \lambda + 2, \tag{4.30ii}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\phi^{-p_1}} - \frac{1}{2} p_1 \left(-\frac{\phi''}{\phi} + \frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + 2\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right) = \lambda + 2, \tag{4.30iii}$$

Case i a) $\underline{\eta = 0}$,
then $p_i = 0$, by (4.30i), $\lambda = 0$. By (4.30ii), $\lambda + 2 = 0$, this is a contradiction.
Case i b) $\underline{\eta \neq 0}$,
then $p_i \neq 0$.
Case i b)1) $\underline{\lambda_2 = 0}$
by (4.30ii) and (4.30iii), $\lambda = -2$ and

$$-\frac{\phi''}{\phi} + \frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + 2\frac{\phi'}{\phi} = 0, \quad \frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} = \frac{2}{\eta}, \tag{4.31}$$

then $\phi = c_0 e^{\pm\sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}}t}$ which does not satisfy the first equation in (4.31), this a contradiction.

Case i b)2) $\underline{\lambda_2 \neq 0}$

by (4.30ii) and (4.30iii), we have $\frac{\lambda_2}{\phi^{-p_1}} = \frac{3}{2}(\lambda + 2)$, so ϕ is a constant. By (4.30ii), $\lambda + 2 = 0$, so $\lambda_2 = 0$, this is a contradiction. In a word, we have no solutions when $\zeta = 0$.

Case ii) $\underline{\zeta \neq 0}$,

then $\eta \neq 0$. Putting $\phi = \psi^{\frac{\zeta}{\eta}}$, then $\psi'' - \psi' + \frac{\lambda\eta}{\zeta^2}\psi = 0$. Hence,

(1) $\lambda < \frac{\zeta^2}{4\eta}$, $\psi = c_1 e^{\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\frac{4\lambda\eta}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t} + c_2 e^{\frac{1-\sqrt{1-\frac{4\lambda\eta}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t}$,

(2) $\lambda = \frac{\zeta^2}{4\eta}$, $\psi = c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}t} + c_2 t e^{\frac{1}{2}t}$,

(3) $\lambda > \frac{\zeta^2}{4\eta}$, $\psi = c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{4\lambda\eta}{\zeta^2}-1}}{2}t\right) + c_2 e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{4\lambda\eta}{\zeta^2}-1}}{2}t\right)$.

We make (4.30) into

$$\frac{\zeta^2}{\eta}\frac{\psi' - \psi''}{\psi} = \lambda, \quad \psi = \phi^{\frac{\eta}{\zeta}}, \tag{4.32i}$$

$$-\frac{p_1}{\zeta}\frac{(\phi^\zeta)''}{\phi^\zeta} + \frac{\zeta + 2p_1}{\zeta}\frac{(\phi^\zeta)'}{\phi^\zeta} = \lambda + 2, \tag{4.32ii}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\phi^{2p_2}} - \frac{p_2}{\zeta}\frac{(\phi^\zeta)''}{\phi^\zeta} + \frac{\zeta + 2p_2}{\zeta}\frac{(\phi^\zeta)'}{\phi^\zeta} = \lambda + 2, \tag{4.32iii}$$

When $p_1 = p_2$, the type (II) spaces turns into type (I) spaces, so we assume $p_1 \neq p_2$. By (4.32ii) and (4.32iii), then

$$\psi' = \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2 - p_1)\zeta^2}\psi^{1-\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}} + \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}\psi. \tag{4.33}$$

Case ii)(1) $\lambda < \frac{\zeta^2}{4\eta}$, $\psi = c_1 e^{at} + c_2 e^{bt}$, where $a = \frac{1+\sqrt{1-\frac{4\lambda\eta}{\zeta^2}}}{2}$,, $b = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-\frac{4\lambda\eta}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t$.
By (4.33),

$$ac_1e^{at} + bc_2e^{bt} = \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2 - p_1)\zeta^2}(c_1e^{at} + c_2e^{bt})^{1-\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}} + \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}(c_1e^{at} + c_2e^{bt}). \tag{4.34}$$

Case ii)(1)(a) $\underline{c_1 = 0}$,
then

$$\left[b - \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}\right]c_2e^{bt} = \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2 - p_1)\zeta^2}(c_2e^{bt})^{1-\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}. \tag{4.35}$$

Case ii)(1)(a)1) $\underline{b \neq 0}$, $\underline{p_1\lambda_2 \neq 0}$

then $p_2 = 0$ and $\zeta = p_1$, $\eta = p_1^2$ and $b = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\lambda}}{2}$ and $\psi = c_2e^{bt}$. By (4.32ii) and $b^2 - b + \lambda = 0$, we get $-b^2 + 3b = \lambda + 2$ and $b = 1$. But $b < \frac{1}{2}$, this is a contradiction.

Case ii)(1)(a)2) $\underline{b \neq 0}$, $\underline{p_1\lambda_2 = 0}$

If $\underline{p_1 = 0}$, then $\zeta = 2p_2$, $\eta = 2p_2^2$ and $b = \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}$, so $\lambda = -4$ and $b = -1$. By (4.32iii), we get $\lambda_2 = 0$ and $-2b^2 + 4b = \lambda + 2$ which is a contradiction.
If $\underline{\lambda_2 = 0}$, by (4.32ii) and $b = \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}$, we get $\lambda = 0$ or -2. When $\lambda = 0$, then $b = \frac{2\eta}{\zeta^2} = 0$, this is a contradiction. There is a similar contradiction for $\lambda = -2$.
Case ii)(1)(a)3) $\underline{b = 0}$,
then $\psi = c_2$, by (4.32i), $\lambda = 0$. By (4.32ii), $\lambda = -2$, this is a contradiction.

Case ii)(1)(b) $\underline{c_2 = 0}$,
then

$$\left[a - \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}\right] c_1 e^{at} = \frac{p_1 \lambda_2 \eta}{(p_2 - p_1)\zeta^2} (c_1 e^{at})^{1 - \frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}. \tag{4.36}$$

Case ii)(1)(b)1) $\underline{p_1\lambda_2} \neq 0$,
then $p_2 = 0$ and $\zeta = 2p_2$, $\eta = 2p_2^2$ and $a = \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\lambda}}{2}$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda + 2 - \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\lambda}}{2}$. By (4.32ii), then $a = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, so $\lambda_2 = 1$ and $\psi = c_1 e^t$ and ϕ satisfies (4.32iii). In this case, we get $\underline{p_2 = 0,\ p_1 \neq 0,\ \phi = c_0 e^{\frac{t}{p_1}},\ \lambda = 0,\ \lambda_2 = 1}$.
Case ii)(1)(b)2) $\underline{p_1\lambda_2} = 0$,
if $\underline{p_1 = 0}$, then $\zeta = 2p_2$, $\eta = 2p_2^2$ and $\psi = c_1 e^{at}$ and $a = \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}$, so $\lambda = 0$ and $a = 1$. By (4.32iii), we get $\lambda_2 = 0$ and ϕ satisfies (4.23ii) and (4.32iii). In this case, $\underline{p_1 = 0,\ p_2 \neq 0\ \lambda = 0,\ \lambda_2 = 0, \phi = c_0 e^{\frac{t}{p_2}}}$.
If $\underline{\lambda_2 = 0}$, by (4.32ii) and $a = \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}$, then $\lambda = 0$ and $a = \frac{2\eta}{\zeta^2} = 1$. By (4.32iii), then $\lambda_2 = 0$ and ϕ satisfies (4.23ii) and (4.32iii). In this case, $\underline{p_1 \neq 0,\ p_2 \neq 0,\ \lambda = \lambda_2 = 0,}$ $\underline{p_1 = 4p_2,\ \phi = c_0 e^{\frac{t}{3p_2}}}$.

Case ii)(1)(c) $\underline{c_1 \neq 0,\ c_2 \neq 0,\ b \neq 0}$,
If $\underline{p_2 \neq 0}$, then $e^{at}, e^{bt}, (c_1 e^{at} + c_2 e^{bt})^{1 - \frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}$ are linear independent, by (4.34), then

$$\left[a - \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}\right] c_1 = 0, \ \left[b - \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}\right] c_2 = 0, \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2 - p_1)\zeta^2} (c_1 e^{at})^{1 - \frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}. \tag{4.37}$$

So $a = b = \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}$, this is a contradiction.
If $\underline{p_2 = 0}$, then by (4.34),

$$a - \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2} - \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2 - p_1)\zeta^2} = 0,\ b - \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2} - \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2 - p_1)\zeta^2} = 0, \tag{4.38}$$

so $a = b$ and we get a contradiction.
Case ii)(1)(d) $\underline{c_1 \neq 0,\ c_2 \neq 0,\ b = 0}$,
When $\underline{1 - \frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta} \neq 0}$, we have similar discussions. When $\underline{1 - \frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta} = 0}$, we have $\frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2} = 1$. By $b = 0$, then $\lambda = 0$ and $2\eta = \zeta^2 = 4p_2\zeta$, so $4p_2 = \zeta$ and $p_1 = 2p_2$. But $\eta = 2p_2\zeta$, then $p_1 = p_2 = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Case ii)(2) $\lambda = \frac{\zeta^2}{4\eta}$, $\psi = c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}t} + c_2 t e^{\frac{1}{2}t}$,
by (4.33), we have

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}c_1 + c_2 - a_0 c_1 + (\frac{c_2}{2} - a_0 c_2)t\right] e^{\frac{1}{2}t} = \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2-p_1)\zeta^2}(c_1+c_2t)^{1-\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}(e^{\frac{1}{2}t})^{1-\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}, \tag{4.39}$$

where $a_0 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2\eta}{\zeta^2}$.
Case ii)(2)a) $\underline{c_2 \neq 0}$,
so $\frac{1}{2}c_1 + c_2 - a_0c_1 + (\frac{c_2}{2} - a_0c_2)t \neq 0$ and $p_2 = 0$. By (4.32iii), $\lambda_2 + \frac{(\phi^\zeta)'}{\phi^\zeta} = \lambda + 2$, then $\phi^\zeta = c_0 e^{(-\lambda_2+\lambda+2)t}$ and $(c_1e^{\frac{1}{2}t} + c_2te^{\frac{1}{2}t})^{\frac{\zeta^2}{\eta}} = c_0e^{(-\lambda_2+\lambda+2)t}$, this is a contradiction with $c_2 \neq 0$.
Case ii)(2)b) $\underline{c_2 = 0}$,
by (4.39), we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}c_1 - a_0c_1\right) e^{\frac{1}{2}t} = \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2-p_1)\zeta^2}(c_1)^{1-\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}(e^{\frac{1}{2}t})^{1-\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}, \tag{4.40}$$

If $\underline{a_0 = \frac{1}{2}}$, then $p_1\lambda_2 = 0$, and $\lambda = 2$. If $\underline{p_1 = 0}$, then $\zeta = 2p_2$ and by (4.32ii), $\frac{(\phi^\zeta)'}{\phi^\zeta} = 4$ and $\phi^\zeta = c_0e^{4t}$. By (4.32iii),

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{(c_0'e^{\frac{1}{2}t})^{\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}} - \frac{16p_2}{\zeta} + 4\frac{\zeta+2p_2}{\zeta} = 4, \tag{4.41}$$

so $\lambda_2 = 0$ and we have a contradiction by (4.41).
If $\underline{p_1 \neq 0}$, then $\lambda_2 = 0$, so $\psi = c_1e^{\frac{1}{2}t}$ and $\phi^\zeta = c_0e^{4t}$. Then by (4.32ii), we have $p_1 = 0$ which contradicts with $p_1 \neq 0$.
If $\underline{a_0 \neq \frac{1}{2}}$, then $p_2 = 0$ and $\zeta = p_1,\ \eta = p_1^2$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$ and $a_0 = \frac{9}{4}$. By $\phi^\xi = c_1e^{\frac{1}{2}t}$ and (4.32ii), we have a contradiction. In a word, we have no solutions in case ii)(2).

Case ii)(3) $\lambda > \frac{\zeta^2}{4\eta}$, $\psi = c_1e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\cos(at) + c_2e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\sin(at)$, where $a = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{4\lambda\eta}{\zeta^2}-1}}{2}$. By (4.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{c_1}{2}+ac_2)\cos(at)+(-ac_1+\frac{c_2}{2})\sin(at) &= \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2-p_1)\zeta^2}(c_1\cos(at)+c_2\sin(at))^{1-\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}}e^{-\frac{2p_2\zeta}{\eta}} \\ &\quad +\frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}(c_1\cos(at)+c_2\sin(at)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

If $\underline{p_2 \neq 0}$, then $p_1\lambda_2 = 0$ and

$$\frac{c_1}{2} + ac_2 = \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}c_1, \quad -ac_1 + \frac{c_2}{2} = \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}c_2, \tag{4.43}$$

so $c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 0$. This is a contradiction.
If $\underline{p_2 = 0}$, then

$$\frac{c_1}{2} + ac_2 = \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2-p_1)\zeta^2}c_1 + \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}c_1, \quad -ac_1 + \frac{c_2}{2} = \frac{p_1\lambda_2\eta}{(p_2-p_1)\zeta^2}c_2 + \frac{(\lambda+2)\eta}{\zeta^2}c_2, \tag{4.44}$$

Then $c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 0$. This is a contradiction. By the above discussions, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 4.28 *Let $M = I \times_{\phi^{p_1}} F_1 \times_{\phi^{p_2}} F_2$ be a generalized Kasner spacetime and* $\mathrm{dim} F_1 = 1$, $\mathrm{dim} F_2 = 2$ *and $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Then $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ if and only if (F_2, ∇^{F_2}) is Einstein with the Einstein constant λ_2, and one of the following conditions is satisfied*
(1) $p_2 = 0,\ p_1 \neq 0,\ \phi = c_0 e^{\frac{t}{p_1}},\ \lambda = 0,\ \lambda_2 = 1.$
(2) $p_1 = 0,\ p_2 \neq 0\ \lambda = 0,\ \lambda_2 = 0, \phi = c_0 e^{\frac{t}{p_2}}.$
(3) $p_1 \neq 0,\ p_2 \neq 0,\ \lambda = \lambda_2 = 0,\ , p_1 = 4p_2,\ \phi = c_0 e^{\frac{t}{3p_2}}.$

· **Type (II) generalized Kasner space-times with a semi-symmetric metric connection with constant scalar curvature**

By Proposition 4.26, then (F_2, ∇^{F_2}) has constant scalar curvature S^{F_2} and

$$\overline{S} = \frac{S^{F_2}}{\phi^{2p_2}} - 2\zeta\frac{\phi''}{\phi} - (\eta + \zeta^2 - 2\zeta)\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + 6\zeta\frac{\phi'}{\phi} - 6. \tag{4.45}$$

If $\underline{\zeta = 0}$, when $\underline{\eta = 0}$, then $p_1 = p_2 = 0$ and $\underline{\overline{S} = S^{F_2} - 6}$. If $\underline{\eta \neq 0}$, then

$$\eta\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} = \frac{S^{F_2}}{\phi^{2p_2}} - (\overline{S} + 6). \tag{4.46}$$

If $\underline{\zeta \neq 0}$, putting $\phi = \psi^{\frac{2\zeta}{\eta + \zeta^2}}$, we get

$$-\frac{4\zeta^2}{\eta + \zeta^2}\psi'' + \frac{12\zeta^2}{\eta + \zeta^2}\psi' - (\overline{S} + 6)\psi + S^{F_2}\psi^{1 - \frac{4p_2\zeta}{\eta + \zeta^2}} = 0. \tag{4.47}$$

· **Type (III) generalized Kasner space-times with a semi-symmetric metric connection with constant scalar curvature**

By Proposition 4.26, then

$$\overline{S} = -2\zeta\frac{\phi''}{\phi} - (\eta + \zeta^2 - 2\zeta)\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + 6\zeta\frac{\phi'}{\phi} - 6. \tag{4.48}$$

If $\underline{\zeta = \eta = 0}$, then $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 0$, we get $\overline{S} = -6$.
If $\underline{\zeta = 0,\ \eta \neq 0}$, then $[(\mathrm{ln}\phi)']^2 = -\frac{\overline{S}+6}{\eta}$, so when $\overline{S} + 6 > 0$, there is no solutions, when $\overline{S} + 6 = 0$, ϕ is a constant and when $\overline{S} + 6 < 0$, $\phi = c_0 e^{\pm\sqrt{-\frac{\overline{S}+6}{\eta}}t}$.
If $\underline{\zeta \neq 0}$, then $\eta \neq 0$, putting $\phi = \psi^{\frac{2\zeta}{\eta + \zeta^2}}$, then

$$\psi'' - 3\psi' + \frac{(\overline{S} + 6)(\eta + \zeta^2)}{4\zeta^2}\psi = 0. \tag{4.49}$$

So, we get

(1) $\overline{S}+6<\frac{9\zeta^2}{\eta+\zeta^2}$, $\psi=c_1e^{\frac{3+\sqrt{9-\frac{(\overline{S}+6)(\eta+\zeta^2)}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t}+c_2e^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9-\frac{(\overline{S}+6)(\eta+\zeta^2)}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t}$,
(2) $\overline{S}+6=\frac{9\zeta^2}{\eta+\zeta^2}$, $\psi=c_1e^{\frac{3}{2}t}+c_2te^{\frac{3}{2}t}$,
(3) $\overline{S}+6>\frac{9\zeta^2}{\eta+\zeta^2}$, $\psi=c_1e^{\frac{3}{2}t}\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-9+\frac{(\overline{S}+6)(\eta+\zeta^2)}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t\right)+c_2e^{\frac{3}{2}t}\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-9+\frac{(\overline{S}+6)(\eta+\zeta^2)}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t\right)$.

So we get the following theorem

Theorem 4.29 *Let* $M=I\times_{\phi^{p_1}}F_1\times_{\phi^{p_2}}F_2\times_{\phi^{p_3}}F_3$ *be a generalized Kasner spacetime and* $\mathrm{dim}F_1=\mathrm{dim}F_2=\mathrm{dim}F_3=1$, *and* $P=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. *Then* $\overline{S}$ *is a constant if and only if one of the following case holds*
(1) $\zeta=\eta=0$, $\overline{S}=-6$.
(2)$\zeta=0$, $\eta\neq 0$, *when* $\overline{S}+6>0$, *there is no solutions, when* $\overline{S}+6=0$, ϕ *is a constant and when* $\overline{S}+6<0$, $\phi=c_0e^{\pm\sqrt{-\frac{\overline{S}+6}{\eta}}t}$.
(3) If $\zeta\neq 0$
(3a) $\overline{S}+6<\frac{9\zeta^2}{\eta+\zeta^2}$, $\phi=\left(c_1e^{\frac{3+\sqrt{9-\frac{(\overline{S}+6)(\eta+\zeta^2)}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t}+c_2e^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9-\frac{(\overline{S}+6)(\eta+\zeta^2)}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t}\right)^{\frac{2\zeta}{\eta+\zeta^2}}$,
(3b) $\overline{S}+6=\frac{9\zeta^2}{\eta+\zeta^2}$, $\phi=\left(c_1e^{\frac{3}{2}t}+c_2te^{\frac{3}{2}t}\right)^{\frac{2\zeta}{\eta+\zeta^2}}$,
(3c) $\overline{S}+6>\frac{9\zeta^2}{\eta+\zeta^2}$, $\phi=\left(c_1e^{\frac{3}{2}t}\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-9+\frac{(\overline{S}+6)(\eta+\zeta^2)}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t\right)+c_2e^{\frac{3}{2}t}\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-9+\frac{(\overline{S}+6)(\eta+\zeta^2)}{\zeta^2}}}{2}t\right)\right)^{\frac{2\zeta}{\eta+\zeta^2}}$.

· Einstein Type (III) generalized Kasner space-times with a semi-symmetric metric connection

By Proposition 4.25, we have

$$\zeta\left(\frac{\phi'-\phi''}{\phi}\right)-(\eta-\zeta)\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2}=\lambda, \tag{4.50i}$$

$$-p_1\left[\frac{\phi''}{\phi}+(\zeta-1)\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2}-2\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right]+\zeta\frac{\phi'}{\phi}=\lambda+2, \tag{4.50ii}$$

$$-p_2\left[\frac{\phi''}{\phi}+(\zeta-1)\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2}-2\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right]+\zeta\frac{\phi'}{\phi}=\lambda+2, \tag{4.50iii}$$

$$-p_3\left[\frac{\phi''}{\phi}+(\zeta-1)\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2}-2\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right]+\zeta\frac{\phi'}{\phi}=\lambda+2, \tag{4.50iv}$$

If $\underline{\zeta=\eta=0}$, by (4.50i), $\lambda=0$, by (4.50ii), $\lambda=-2$, this is a contradiction.
If $\underline{\zeta=0,\ \eta\neq 0}$, plusing (4.50ii),(4.50iii),(4.50iv), we get $\lambda=-2$. By (4.50i), $\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2}=\frac{2}{\eta}$

and $\phi = c_0 e^{\pm\sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}}t}$. But by (4.50ii), then $\frac{\phi''}{\phi} + (\zeta - 1)\frac{\phi'^2}{\phi^2} - 2\frac{\phi'}{\phi} = 0$, this is a contradiction.
$\underline{\zeta \neq 0}$. If $p_1 = p_2 = p_3$, we get type (I), so we may let $p_1 \neq p_2$. By (4.50ii) and (4.50iii), we have $\frac{(\phi^\zeta)'}{\phi^\zeta} = \lambda + 2$ and $\frac{(\phi^\zeta)''}{\phi^\zeta} - 2\frac{(\phi^\zeta)'}{\phi^\zeta} = 0$, so $\phi^\zeta = c_0 e^{(\lambda+2)t}$ and $\lambda = -2$ or 0. When $\lambda = -2$, ψ is a constant, by (4.50i), $\lambda = 0$, this is a contradiction. When $\lambda = 0$, $\psi = c_0 e^{\frac{2\eta t}{\zeta^2}}$ and $\psi'' - \psi' = 0$, so $\frac{2\eta}{\zeta^2} = 1$. In this case, we get when $p_i \neq p_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\underline{\lambda = 0,\ \frac{2\eta}{\zeta^2} = 1,\ \phi = c_0 e^{\frac{2t}{\zeta}},\ }$. We get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.30 *Let* $M = I \times_{\phi^{p_1}} F_1 \times_{\phi^{p_2}} F_2 \times_{\phi^{p_3}} F_3$ *be a generalized Kasner space-time for* $p_i \neq p_j$ *for some* $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ *and* $\dim F_1 = \dim F_2 = \dim F_3 = 1$, *and* $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. *Then* $(M, \overline{\nabla})$ *is Einstein with the Einstein constant* λ *if and only if* $\lambda = 0,\ \frac{2\eta}{\zeta^2} = 1,\ \phi = c_0 e^{\frac{2t}{\zeta}}$.

5 Multiply twisted product Finsler manifolds

In this section, we set (M_i, F_i) is a Finsler manifold for $0 \leq i \leq \mathbf{b}$ and $f_i : M_0 \times M_i \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function for $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{b}$ Let $\pi_i : TM_i \to M_i$ be the projection map. The product manifold $M_0 \times M_1 \times \cdots \times M_\mathbf{b}$ endowed with the metric $F : TM^0 \times TM_1^0 \cdots \times TM_\mathbf{b}^0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is considered,
$F(v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_m)$

$$= \sqrt{{F_0}^2(v_0) + f_1^2(\pi_0(v_0), \pi_1(v_1)){F_1}^2(v_1) + \cdots + f_m^2(\pi_0(v_0), \pi_m(v_m)){F_\mathbf{b}}^2(v_\mathbf{b})}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $TM_i^0 = TM_i - \{0\}$. Let $\mathrm{dimM_i} = m_i$, for $0 \leq i \leq \mathbf{b}$ and $(x_i^1, \cdots, x_i^{m_i}, y_i^1, \cdots, y_i^{m_i}$ is the local coordinate on TM_i. Let

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}, \quad (5.2)$$

and g^{ij} be the inverse of g_{ij}. For a Finsler manifold (M, F), a global vector field in introduced by F on TM^0, which in a standard coordinate (x^i, y^i) for TM^0 is given by $\mathbf{G} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$, where

$$G^i := \frac{1}{4}g^{il}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial x^k \partial y^l}y^k - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^l}\right). \quad (5.3)$$

Let

$$G_j^i = \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j};\ G_{jk}^i = \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k};\ B_{jkl}^i = \frac{\partial^3 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l};\ E_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}B_{jki}^i. \quad (5.4)$$

F is called a Berwald metric and weakly Berwald metric if $B_{jkl}^i = 0$ $E_{jk} = 0$ respectively. Let $C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}$. A Finsler metric F is said to be isotropic mean Berwald metric if its mean Berwald curvature is in the following form

$$E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)cF^{-1}h_{ij}, \quad (5.5)$$

where $h_{ij} = g_{ij} - F^{-2}y_iy_j$ is the angular metric and $c = c(x)$ is a scalar function on M. Let $L_{ijk} = \frac{-1}{2}y_lB^l_{ijk}$. A Finsler metric is called a Landsgerg metric if $L_{ijk} = 0$. A Finsler metric is said to be relatively isotropic Landsberg metric if it satisfies $L_{ijk} = cFC_{ijk}$, where $c = c(x)$ is a scalar function on M. Let $J_i = g^{jk}L_{ijk}$ and $I_i = g^{jk}C_{ijk}$. A Finsler metric is called a weakly Landsberg metric if $J_i = 0$. A Finsler metric is said to be relatively isotropic mean Landsberg metric if $J_i = cFI_i$ for some scalar function $c = c(x)$ on M. A Finsler metric is said to be locally dually flat if $(F^2)_{x^ky^l}y^k = 2(F^2)_{x^l}$. A Finsler manifold (M, F) is called a locally Minkowski manifold if on each coordinate neighborhood of TM, F is a function of (y^i) only. Let $I_i = g^{jk}C_{ijk}$ and $h_{ij} = g_{ij} - \frac{1}{F^2}g_{ip}y^pg_{iq}y^q$ and $M_{ijk} = C_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n+1}(I_ih_{jk} + I_jh_{ik} + I_kh_{ij})$. A Finsler metric F is said to be C-reducible if $M_{ijk} = 0$. Direct computations show that

$$G^j = (G_0)^j - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{k=1}^{m_0} g_0^{jk}\frac{\partial f_i^2}{\partial x_0^k}F_i^2, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m_0, \tag{5.6}$$

where $(G_l)^j$ is the geodesic coefficient of (M_l, F_l) and $(g_l)^{jk} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F_l^2}{\partial y_l^i \partial y_l^j}$ for $0 \leq l \leq \mathbf{b}$.

$$G^{m_0+\cdots+m_{l-1}+j} = (G_l)^j + \frac{1}{2f_l^2}y_0^hy_l^j\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^h} + \frac{1}{2f_l^2}y_l^ry_l^j\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^r} - \frac{1}{4f_l^2}(g_l)^{js}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^s}F_l^2, \tag{5.7}$$

for $1 \leq l \leq \mathbf{b},\ 1 \leq j \leq m_l$. In the following, we write $\overline{l-1} = m_0 + \cdots + m_{l-1}$.

$$G^j_k = (G_0)^j_k - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{k=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial g_0^{js}}{\partial y_0^k}\frac{\partial f_i^2}{\partial x_0^s}F_i^2, \tag{5.8}$$

$$G^j_{\overline{r-1}+k} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=1}^{m_0} g_0^{js}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}(g_r)_{kt}y_r^t, \tag{5.9}$$

$$G^{\overline{l-1}+j}_k = \frac{1}{2f_l^2}y_l^j\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^k}, \tag{5.10}$$

$$G^{\overline{l-1}+j}_{\overline{r-1}+k} = \delta^l_r(G_l)^j_k + \frac{1}{2f_l^2}y_0^h\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^h}\delta^k_j\delta^l_r + \delta^l_rL^j_k, \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$L^j_k = \frac{1}{2f_l^2}\delta^k_jy_l^r\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^r} + \frac{1}{2f_l^2}y_l^j\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^k} - \frac{1}{4f_l^2}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^s}\left(\frac{\partial (g_l)^{js}}{\partial y_l^k}F_l^2 + 2(g_l)^{js}(g_l)_{kt}y_l^t\right).$$

$$G^k_{ij} = (G_0)^k_{ij} - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{t=1}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{k=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial^2 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^i\partial y_0^j}\frac{\partial f_t^2}{\partial x_0^s}F_t^2, \tag{5.12}$$

$$G^k_{\overline{r-1}+i,j} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^j}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}(g_r)_{it}y_r^t, \tag{5.13}$$

$$G_{ij}^{\overline{r-1}+k} = 0,\ G_{\overline{l-1}+i,j}^{\overline{r-1}+k} = \frac{1}{2f_r^2}\delta_i^k\delta_r^l\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^j}, \tag{5.14}$$

$$G_{\overline{l-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j}^{k} = -\frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{ks}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}\delta_r^l(g_r)_{ij}, \tag{5.15}$$

$$G_{\overline{s-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j}^{\overline{l-1}+k} = \delta_r^l\delta_s^l(G_l)_{ij}^k+\frac{1}{2f_l^2}\delta_r^l\delta_j^k\delta_s^l\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_l^i}+\frac{1}{2f_l^2}\delta_s^l\delta_i^k\delta_r^l\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^j}-\frac{1}{4f_l^2}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^\beta}\delta_r^l\delta_s^l\frac{\partial^2[(g_l)^{k\beta}F_l^2]}{\partial y_l^i\partial y_l^j}. \tag{5.16}$$

$$C_{ijk} = (C_0)_{ijk},\ C_{\overline{s-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j,\overline{l-1}+k} = \delta_r^s\delta_r^l f_s^2(C_r)_{ijk}, \tag{5.17}$$

and the other six combinations are zero. Let $C_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2}g^{kh}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^h}$. Then

$$C_{ij}^k = (C_0)_{ij}^k,\ C_{\overline{s-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j}^{\overline{l-1}+k} = \delta_r^s\delta_r^l f_s^2(C_l)_{ij}^k, \tag{5.18}$$

and the other six combinations are zero. Let

$$F_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2}g^{kh}\left(\frac{\delta g_{hi}}{\delta x^j}+\frac{\delta g_{hj}}{\delta x^i}-\frac{\delta g_{ij}}{\delta x^h}\right), \tag{5.19}$$

where

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0^k}-\sum_{l=0}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{j_l=1}^{m_l}G_k^{\overline{l-1}+j_l}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l^{j_l}},\ 1\le k\le m_0, \tag{5.20}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{\overline{r-1}+k}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r^k}-\sum_{l=0}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{j_l=1}^{m_l}G_{\overline{r-1}+k}^{\overline{l-1}+j_l}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l^{j_l}},\ 1\le k\le m_r, \tag{5.21}$$

Then

$$F_{ij}^k = (F_0)_{ij}^k+\frac{1}{4}(g_0)^{kh}\left[\frac{\partial g_0^{ls}}{\partial y_0^j}(C_0)_{hil}+\frac{\partial g_0^{ls}}{\partial y_0^i}(C_0)_{hjl}-\frac{\partial g_0^{ls}}{\partial y_0^h}(C_0)_{jil}\right](\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{b}}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}F_r^2), \tag{5.22}$$

$$F_{ij}^{\overline{l-1}+k} = -\frac{1}{4}f_l^{-2}(g_0)^{s\beta}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^\beta}y_l^k\frac{\partial(g_0)_{ij}}{\partial y_0^s}, \tag{5.23}$$

$$F_{i,\overline{r-1}+j}^k = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial(g_0)^{k\beta}}{\partial y_0^i}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^\beta}y_r^t(g_r)_{tj}, \tag{5.24}$$

$$F_{i,\overline{r-1}+j}^{\overline{l-1}+k} = \frac{1}{2f_l^2}\delta_j^k\delta_r^l\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^i}, \tag{5.25}$$

$$F_{\overline{r-1}+i,j}^k = F_{j,\overline{r-1}+i}^k,\quad F_{\overline{r-1}+j,i}^{\overline{l-1}+k} = F_{i,\overline{r-1}+j}^{\overline{l-1}+k}, \tag{5.26}$$

$$F_{\overline{s-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j}^k = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^h}g_0^{kh}(g_r)_{ij}\delta_r^s, \tag{5.27}$$

$$F^{\overline{l-1}+k}_{\overline{s-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j}=\frac{1}{2f_l^2}\delta^l_s\delta^l_r\delta^i_k\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^j}+\frac{1}{2f_l^2}\delta^l_s\delta^l_r\delta^j_k\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^i}-\frac{1}{2f_l^2}\delta^l_s\delta^l_r(g_l)^{kh}(g_l)_{ij}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^h}+\delta^l_s\delta^l_r(F_l)^k_{ij}$$

$$-\frac{1}{4f_l^2}(g_l)^{kh}\delta^l_s\delta^l_r\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^d}y_0^d\frac{\partial (g_l)_{ij}}{\partial y_l^h}-\frac{1}{2}(g_l)^{kh}\delta^l_s\delta^l_r\left(L^\beta_j\frac{\partial (g_l)_{hi}}{\partial y_l^\beta}+L^\beta_i\frac{\partial (g_l)_{hj}}{\partial y_l^\beta}-L^\beta_h\frac{\partial (g_l)_{ij}}{\partial y_l^\beta}\right). \tag{5.28}$$

$$B^k_{ijq}=(B_0)^k_{ijq}-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{t=1}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{k=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial^3 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^i\partial y_0^j\partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_t^2}{\partial x_0^s}F_t^2, \tag{5.29}$$

$$B^k_{\overline{r-1}+i,j,q}=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial^2 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^j\partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}(g_r)_{it}y_r^t=B^k_{j,\overline{r-1}+i,q}=B^k_{j,q,\overline{r-1}+i}, \tag{5.30}$$

$$B^k_{\overline{l-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j,q}=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial (g_0)^{ks}}{\partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}\delta^l_r(g_r)_{ij}, \tag{5.31}$$

$$B^k_{\overline{l-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j,\overline{s-1}+q}=-(g_0)^{ks}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}\delta^l_r\delta^l_s(C_r)_{ijq}, \tag{5.32}$$

$$B^{\overline{r-1}+k}_{i,j,q}=B^{\overline{r-1}+k}_{\overline{l-1}+i,j,q}=B^{\overline{r-1}+k}_{\overline{l-1}+i,\overline{s-1}+j,q}=0, \tag{5.33}$$

$$B^{\overline{l-1}+k}_{\overline{s-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j,\overline{t-1}+q}=\delta^l_r\delta^l_s\delta^l_t(B_l)^k_{ijq}-\frac{1}{4f_l^2}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^\beta}\delta^l_r\delta^l_s\delta^l_t\frac{\partial^3[(g_l)^{k\beta}F_l^2]}{\partial y_l^i\partial y_l^j\partial y_l^q}. \tag{5.34}$$

By (5.18), then

Proposition 5.1 *The multiply twisted product Finsler manifold* $M_0\times_{f_1}M_1\times\cdots\times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}}M_{\mathbf{b}}$ *is Riemannian if and only if* (M_i,F_i) *is Riemannian for* $0\leq i\leq\mathbf{b}$.

Similar to Theorem 1 in [PTN], by (5.29)-(5.34) we have

Theorem 5.2 *If there is a point* $p_i\in M_0$ *such that* $\frac{\partial f_i^2}{\partial x_0^j(p_i,x_i)}\neq 0$ *for some* $j\in\{1,\cdots,m_0\}$, *then* $M_0\times_{f_1}M_1\times\cdots\times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}}M_{\mathbf{b}}$ *is a Berwald manifold if and only if* (M_0,F_0) *is a Berwald manifold,* (M_i,F_i) *is Riemannian for* $1\leq i\leq\mathbf{b}$ *and* $\frac{\partial(g_0)^{ks}}{\partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^s}=0,\ 1\leq l\leq\mathbf{b}$.

By (5.29)-(5.34) then

$$E_{ij}=(E_0)_{ij}-\frac{1}{8}\sum_{t=1}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{k=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial^3 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^i\partial y_0^j\partial y_0^k}\frac{\partial f_t^2}{\partial x_0^s}F_t^2, \tag{5.35}$$

$$E_{\overline{l-1}+i,j} = E_{j,\overline{l-1}+i} = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{s=1}^{m_0} \frac{\partial^2 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^j \partial y_0^k} \frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^s}(y_l)_i, \tag{5.36}$$

$$E_{\overline{l-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j} = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial (g_0)^{ks}}{\partial y_0^k}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}\delta_r^l (g_r)_{ij} + \delta_r^l (E_l)_{ij} - \frac{1}{8f_l^2}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^\beta}\delta_r^l \frac{\partial^3[(g_l)^{k\beta}F_l^2]}{\partial y_l^i \partial y_l^j \partial y_l^k}. \tag{5.37}$$

By (5.35)-(5.37), similar to Theorem in [PTN], we have

Theorem 5.3 *$M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}} M_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a weakly Berwald manifold if and only if (M_0, F_0) is a weakly Berwald manifold and $\frac{\partial (g_0)^{ks}}{\partial y_0^k}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^s} = 0$ and*

$$(E_l)_{ij} = \frac{1}{8f_l^2}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^\beta}\frac{\partial^3[(g_l)^{k\beta}F_l^2]}{\partial y_l^i \partial y_l^j \partial y_l^k}, \tag{5.38}$$

for $1 \leq l \leq \mathbf{b}$.

By (5.5) and (5.35)-(5.37), then

Lemma 5.4 *$M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}} M_{\mathbf{b}}$ has isotropic mean Berwald curvature if and only if*

$$(E_0)_{ij} - \frac{1}{8}\sum_{t=1}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \frac{\partial^3 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^i \partial y_0^j \partial y_0^k}\frac{\partial f_t^2}{\partial x_0^s}F_t^2 = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)cF^{-1}[(g_0)_{ij} - F^{-2}(y_0)_i (y_0)_j], \tag{5.39}$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{s=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial^2 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^j \partial y_0^k}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^s}(y_l)_i = -\frac{n+1}{2}cF^{-3}f_l^2 (y_l)_i (y_0)_j, \tag{5.40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial (g_0)^{ks}}{\partial y_0^k}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}\delta_r^l (g_r)_{ij} + \delta_r^l (E_l)_{ij} - \frac{1}{8f_l^2}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^\beta}\delta_r^l \frac{\partial^3[(g_l)^{k\beta}F_l^2]}{\partial y_l^i \partial y_l^j \partial y_l^k} \\ = \frac{n+1}{2}cF^{-1}[f_l^2 \delta_r^l (g_l)_{ij} - F^{-2}f_l^2 f_r^2 (y_l)_i (y_r)_j]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.41}$$

By Lemma 5.4, similar to Theorem 3 in [PTN], we get

Theorem 5.5 *$M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}} M_{\mathbf{b}}$ with isotropic mean Berwald curvature is a weakly Berwald manifold.*

By (5.29)-(5.34), we have

$$L_{ijq} = (L_0)_{ijq} + \frac{1}{8}\sum_{t=1}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{k=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial^3 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^i \partial y_0^j \partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_t^2}{\partial x_0^s}F_t^2 (y_0)_k, \tag{5.42}$$

$$L_{\overline{r-1}+i,j,q}=\frac{1}{4}\sum_{s=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial^2 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^j\partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}(y_0)_k(y_r)_i, \tag{5.43}$$

$$L_{\overline{l-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j,q}=0, \tag{5.44}$$

$$L_{\overline{l-1}+i,\overline{r-1}+j,\overline{t-1}+q}=\frac{1}{2}y_0^s\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}\delta_r^l\delta_t^l(C_r)_{ijq}+f_l^2\delta_r^l\delta_t^l(L_l)_{ijq}+\frac{1}{8}(y_l)_k\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^\beta}\delta_r^l\delta_t^l\frac{\partial^3[(g_l)^{k\beta}F_l^2]}{\partial y_l^i\partial y_l^j\partial y_l^q}. \tag{5.45}$$

By (5.42)-(5.45), similar to Theorem 6 in [PTN], we have

Theorem 5.6 *If there is a point $p_i\in M_0$ such that $\frac{\partial f_i^2}{\partial x_0^j(p_i,x_i)}\neq 0$ for some $j\in\{1,\cdots,m_0\}$, then $M_0\times_{f_1}M_1\times\cdots\times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}}M_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a Landsberg manifold if and only if (M_0,F_0) is Landsberg, M_i is Riemannian for $1\leq i\leq\mathbf{b}$ and $\frac{\partial^3 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^i\partial y_0^j\partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_r^2}{\partial x_0^s}(y_0)_k=0$.*

Similar to Theorem 6 in [PTN], we have

Theorem 5.7 *$M_0\times_{f_1}M_1\times\cdots\times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}}M_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a relatively isotropic Landsberg manifold, then M is a Landsberg manifold.*

By (5.42)-(5.45), then

$$J_i=(J_0)_i+\frac{1}{8}\sum_{t=1}^{\mathbf{b}}\sum_{k=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial^3 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^i\partial y_0^j\partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_t^2}{\partial x_0^s}F_t^2(g_0)^{jq}(y_0)_k, \tag{5.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}J_{\overline{l-1}+i}=(J_l)_i&+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{s=1}^{m_0}\frac{\partial^2 g_0^{ks}}{\partial y_0^j\partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^s}(y_0)_k(y_l)_i g_0^{jq}\\&+\frac{1}{2f_l^2}y_0^s\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^s}(g_l)^{jq}(C_l)_{ijq}+\frac{1}{8f_l^2}(g_l)^{jq}(y_l)_k\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_l^\beta}\frac{\partial^3[(g_l)^{k\beta}F_l^2]}{\partial y_l^i\partial y_l^j\partial y_l^q}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

Similar to Theorem 8 in [PTN], by (5.46) and (5.47) we have

Theorem 5.8 *If there is a point $p_i\in M_0$ such that $\frac{\partial f_i^2}{\partial x_0^j(p_i,x_i)}\neq 0$ for some $j\in\{1,\cdots,m_0\}$, then $M_0\times_{f_1}M_1\times\cdots\times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}}M_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a weakly Landsberg manifold if and only if (M_0,F_0) is a weakly Landsberg manifold, (M_i,F_i) is Riemannian for $1\leq i\leq\mathbf{b}$ and $(y_0)_k(g_0)^{jq}\frac{\partial^3(g_0)^{ks}}{\partial y_0^i\partial y_0^j\partial y_0^q}\frac{\partial f_l^2}{\partial x_0^s}=0,\ 1\leq l\leq\mathbf{b}$.*

Similar to Theorem 9 in [PTN], we have

Theorem 5.9 *$M_0\times_{f_1}M_1\times\cdots\times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}}M_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a relatively isotropic mean Landsberg manifold, then (M,F) is a weakly Landsberg manifold.*

Similar to Theorem 10 in [PTN], we have

Theorem 5.10 *$M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}} M_{\mathbf{b}}$ is locally dually flat if and only if (M_0, F_0) is locally dually flat and $f_l = f_l(x_l)$ and $(M_i, f_i F_i)$ is locally dually flat for $1 \leq l \leq \mathbf{b}$.*

By Lemma 2 and Theorem 4 in [HR] and (5.25), we get

Theorem 5.11 *$M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}} M_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a locally Minkowski manifold if and only if $f_l = f_l(x_l)$ and (M_0, F_0), $(M_i, f_i F_i)$ are locally Minkowski manifolds for $1 \leq l \leq \mathbf{b}$.*

Similar to Theorem 1 in [PT], we have

Theorem 5.12 *$M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}} M_{\mathbf{b}}$ is C-reducible, then it is a Riemannian manifold.*

Let

$$R^c_{ab} = \frac{\delta G^c_a}{\delta x^b} - \frac{\delta G^c_b}{\delta x^a}, \tag{5.48}$$

$$\delta y^i_0 = dy^i_0 + G^i_j dx^j_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\mathbf{b}} G^i_{\overline{l-1}+j} dx^j_l \tag{5.49}$$

$$\delta y^i_r = dy^i_l + G^{\overline{r-1}+i}_j dx^j_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\mathbf{b}} G^{\overline{r-1}+i}_{\overline{l-1}+j} dx^j_l. \tag{5.50}$$

Then the multiply twisted Miron metric on TM^0 can be introduced as follows:

$$\mathbf{G} = (g_0)_{ij} dx^i_0 \otimes dx^j_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\mathbf{b}} f_l^2 (g_l)_{ij} dx^i_l \otimes dx^j_l + \phi(F^2)(g_0)_{ij} \delta y^i_0 \otimes \delta y^j_0 + \phi(F^2) \sum_{l=1}^{\mathbf{b}} f_l^2 (g_l)_{ij} \delta y^i_l \otimes \delta y^j_l. \tag{5.51}$$

Proposition 5.13*Let $M = M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}} M_{\mathbf{b}}$, then the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on the Riemannian manifold $(TM^0, \mathbf{G})$ is locally expressed as follows:*

$$\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_0}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j_0} = F^s_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^s_0} + \left(\frac{1}{2} R^s_{ij} - \frac{1}{\phi(F^2)} (C_0)^s_{ij} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^s_0} + F^{\overline{r-1}+t}_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^t_r} + \frac{1}{2} R^{\overline{r-1}+t}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^t_r}, \tag{5.52}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_0} &= \left[(C_0)^s_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} (g_0)^{sk} (g_0)_{cj} R^c_{ki} \phi(F^2) \right] \frac{\delta}{\delta x^s_0} + F^s_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^s_0} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2 f_r^2} (g^r)^{st} \left[f_r^2 G^{\overline{r-1}+l}_{ij} (g_r)_{ls} - G^l_{i, \overline{r-1}+s} (g_0)_{lj} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial y^s_r} + \frac{1}{2 f_r^2} (g_r)^{st} (g_0)_{kj} R^k_{\overline{r-1}+s, i} \phi(F^2) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^s_r}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_0}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_0} = \nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_0} - G^l_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l_0} - G^{\overline{r-1}+l}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l_r}, \tag{5.54}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^i}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x_r^j} = \frac{1}{2} R^s_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^s} + F^s_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^s} + \frac{1}{2} R^{\overline{q-1}+t}_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_q^t} + F^{\overline{q-1}+t}_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\delta}{\delta x_r^t}, \tag{5.55}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x_r^j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^i} = -\frac{1}{2} R^s_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^s} + F^s_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^s} - \frac{1}{2} R^{\overline{q-1}+t}_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_q^t} + F^{\overline{q-1}+t}_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\delta}{\delta x_r^t}, \tag{5.56}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_r^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_t^j} = \frac{1}{2\phi(F^2)} \frac{\partial \phi(F^2)}{\partial y_r^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_t^j} + \frac{1}{2\phi(F^2)} \frac{\partial \phi(F^2)}{\partial y_t^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_r^i}, \text{ for } r \neq t, \tag{5.57}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_r^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_r^j} &= -f_r^2 (g_r)_{ij} \frac{\phi'(F^2)}{\phi(F^2)} y_0^l \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^l} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{lk} \phi(F^2) \left[-\frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^k} (f_r^2 (g_r)_{ij}) + G^{\overline{r-1}+s}_{k,\overline{r-1}+j} f_r^2 (g_r)_{si} + G^{\overline{r-1}+s}_{k,\overline{r-1}+i} f_r^2 (g_r)_{sj} \right] \frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^l} \\ &+ \left[(C_q)^\alpha_{ij} \delta^q_r + \delta^q_r \delta^\alpha_j \frac{\phi'(F^2)}{\phi(F^2)} f_r^2 (y_r)_i + \delta^q_r \delta^\alpha_i \frac{\phi'(F^2)}{\phi(F^2)} f_r^2 (y_r)_j - f_r^2 \frac{\phi'(F^2)}{\phi(F^2)} (g_r)_{ij} y_q^\alpha \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_q^\alpha} \\ &+\frac{1}{2f_q^2} (g_q)^{lk} \left[-\frac{\delta}{\delta x_q^k} (f_q^2 (g_q)_{ij}) + G^{\overline{r-1}+\alpha}_{\overline{q-1}+k,\overline{r-1}+j} f_r^2 (g_r)_{i\alpha} + G^{\overline{r-1}+\alpha}_{\overline{q-1}+k,\overline{r-1}+i} f_r^2 (g_r)_{j\alpha} \right] \phi(F^2) \frac{\delta}{\delta x_q^l}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.58}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_r^j} &= \frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{\alpha k} \left[G^l_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} (g_0)_{lk} - G^{\overline{r-1}+l}_{ik} (g_r)_{lj} f_r^2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^\alpha} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{sk} R^{\overline{r-1}+l}_{ki} (g_r)_{lj} f_r^2 \phi(F^2) \frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^s} \\ &+\frac{1}{2f_r^2} (g_r)^{\alpha s} \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^i} (f_r^2 (g_r)_{js}) + G^{\overline{r-1}+l}_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} f_r^2 (g_r)_{ls} - G^{\overline{r-1}+l}_{i,\overline{r-1}+s} f_r^2 (g_r)_{lj} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_r^\alpha} \\ &+\frac{1}{2f_k^2} (g_k)^{ts} R^l_{\overline{r-1}+s,i} (g_0)_{lj} \phi(F^2) \frac{\delta}{\delta x_k^t}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.59}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_r^j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^i} = \nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_r^j} - G^k_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^k} - G^{\overline{t-1}+k}_{i,\overline{r-1}+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_t^k}, \tag{5.60}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^j} &= \left\{ (C_0)^l_{ij} + \frac{\phi'(F^2)}{\phi(F^2)} \left[\delta^l_j (y_0)_i + \delta^l_i (y_0)_j - (g_0)_{ij} y^l \right] \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^l} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{\alpha k} \phi(F^2) \left[-\frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^k} (g_0)_{ij} + G^l_{kj} (g_0)_{li} + G^l_{ki} (g_0)_{lj} \right] \frac{\delta}{\delta x_0^\alpha} - (g_0)_{ij} \frac{\phi'(F^2)}{\phi(F^2)} y^t \frac{\partial}{\partial y_r^t} \\ &+\frac{1}{2f_r^2} (g_r)^{tk} \phi(F^2) \left[-\frac{\delta}{\delta x_r^k} (g_0)_{ij} + G^l_{\overline{r-1}+k,j} (g_0)_{li} + G^l_{\overline{r-1}+k,i} (g_0)_{lj} \right] \frac{\delta}{\delta x_r^t}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.61}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x_r^j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^i} = \frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{tk} \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x_r^j} (g_0)_{ik} + G^l_{\overline{r-1}+j,i} (g_0)_{lk} - G^l_{\overline{r-1}+j,k} (g_0)_{li} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0^t}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{tk}(g_0)_{li}\phi(F^2)R^{l}_{k,\overline{r-1}+j}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^t_0}+\frac{1}{2f_s^2}(g_s)^{\beta k}\left[G^{\overline{s-1}+l}_{\overline{r-1}+j,i}f_s^2(g_s)_{lk}-G^{l}_{\overline{r-1}+j,\overline{s-1}+k}(g_0)_{li}\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta_s}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2f_s^2}(g_s)^{\beta k}(g_0)_{li}\phi(F^2)R^{l}_{\overline{s-1}+k,\overline{r-1}+j}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta_s}, \tag{5.62}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i_0}}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j_r}=\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j_r}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i_0}-G^{l}_{\overline{r-1}+j,i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l_0}-G^{\overline{t-1}+l}_{\overline{r-1}+j,i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l_t}, \tag{5.63}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i_r}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_0}=\frac{\phi'(F^2)}{\phi(F^2)}(y_r)_i f_r^2\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_0}+\frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{lk}\phi(F^2)\left[G^{\overline{r-1}+l}_{k,j}f_r^2(g_r)_{li}+G^{l}_{k,\overline{r-1}+i}(g_0)_{lj}\right]\frac{\delta}{\delta x^l_0}$$

$$+\frac{\phi'(F^2)}{\phi(F^2)}(y_0)_j\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i_r}+\frac{1}{2f_t^2}(g_t)^{\beta k}\phi(F^2)\left[G^{\overline{r-1}+l}_{\overline{t-1}+k,j}f_r^2(g_r)_{li}+G^{l}_{\overline{t-1}+k,\overline{r-1}+i}(g_0)_{lj}\right]\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta_t}, \tag{5.64}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_0}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i_r}=\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i_r}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_0}, \tag{5.65}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_r}}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j_t}=\frac{1}{2}R^{\beta}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{t-1}+j}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta_0}+F^{\beta}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{t-1}+j}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta_0}$$

$$+\left(-\delta^t_r\delta^s_r\frac{1}{\phi(F^2)}(C_r)^\beta_{ij}+\frac{1}{2}R^{\overline{s-1}+\beta}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{t-1}+j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta_s}+F^{\overline{l-1}+\beta}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{t-1}+j}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta_l}, \tag{5.66}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_r}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_t}=\frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{\beta k}\left[G^{l}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{t-1}+j}(g_0)_{lk}-G^{\overline{t-1}+l}_{\overline{r-1}+i,k}(g_t)_{lj}f_t^2\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta_0}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(g_0)^{\beta k}R^{\overline{t-1}+l}_{k,\overline{r-1}+i}(g_t)_{lj}f_t^2\phi(F^2)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta_0}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2f_s^2}(g_s)^{\beta k}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_r}(\delta^s_t f_t^2(g_t)_{jk})+G^{\overline{s-1}+l}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{t-1}+j}f_s^2(g_s)_{lk}-G^{\overline{t-1}+l}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{s-1}+k}f_t^2(g_t)_{lj}\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta_s}$$

$$+\left[C^{\overline{s-1}+\beta}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{t-1}+j}+\frac{1}{2f_s^2}f_t^2(g_s)^{\beta k}R^{\overline{t-1}+l}_{\overline{s-1}+k,\overline{r-1}+i}(g_t)_{lj}\phi(F^2)\right]\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta_s}, \tag{5.67}$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_t}}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_r}=\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_r}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_t}-G^{l}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{t-1}+j}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l_0}-G^{\overline{s-1}+l}_{\overline{r-1}+i,\overline{t-1}+j}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l_s}. \tag{5.68}$$

Let the horizontal bundle HTM^0 and vertical bundle VTM^0 have the basis $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_0}$, $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i_r}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_0}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j_t}$. We say that vertical bundle VTM^0 is totally geodesic in TTM^0 if $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^b}\in\Gamma(VTM^0)$. Similarly, the horizontal bundle HTM^0 is totally geodesic in TTM^0 if $\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^a}}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^b}\in\Gamma(HTM^0)$. Similar to Proposition 3, 4 in [PT], we have

Proposition 5.14*Let* $M = M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}} M_{\mathbf{b}}$*, then* VTM^0 *is totally geodesic if and only if* $F^c_{ab} = G^c_{ab}$*.*

Proposition 5.15*Let* $M = M_0 \times_{f_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{f_{\mathbf{b}}} M_{\mathbf{b}}$*, then* HTM^0 *is totally geodesic if and only if* (M_i, F_i) *is Riemannian for* $0 \leq i \leq \mathbf{b}$ *and* $R^c_{ab} = 0$

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by Fok Ying Tong Education Foundation No. 121003.

References

[ARS]L. Alías, A. Romero, M. Sánchez, Spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature and Clabi-Bernstein type problems, Tohoku Math. J. 49(1997) 337-345.
[As1]G. Asanov, Finslerian extensions of Schwaraschild metric, Fortschr. Phys. 40(1992) 667-693.
[As2]G. Asanov, Finslerian metric functions over the product $R \times M$ and their potential applications, Rep. Math. Phys. 41(1998) 117-132.
[BO]R. Bishop, B. O'Neill, Manifolds of negative curvature, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 145(1969) 1-49.
[BGV]M. Brozos-Vázquez, E. García-Río, R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, Some remarks on locally conformally flat static space-times, J. Math. Phys. 46
[CK]J. Choi, M. Kim, The index form on the multiply warped spacetime, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 41(2004) No.4 691-697.
[DD]F. Dobarro, E. Dozo, Scalar curvature and warped products of Riemannian manifolds, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 303(1987) 161-168.
[DU1]F. Dobarro, B. Ünal, Curvature of multiply warped products, J. Geom. Phys. 55(2005) 75-106.
[DU2]F. Dobarro, B. Ünal, Characterizing Killing vector fields of standard static space-time, J. Geom. Phys. 62(2012) 1070-1087.
[EJK]P. Ehrlich, Y. Jung, S. Kim, Constant scalar curvatures on warped product manifolds, Tsukuba J. Math. 20(1996) No.1 239-265.
[EK]P. Ehrlich, S. Kim, The index form of a warped product, preprint.
[FGKU]M. Fernández-López, E. García-Río, D. Kupeli, B. Ünal, A curvature condition for a twisted product to be a warped product, Manu. math. 106(2001), 213-217.
[FS]J. Flores, M. Sánchez, Geodesic connectedness of multiwarped spacetimes, J. Diff. Eqs. 186(1)(2002) 1-30.
[Ha]H. Hayden, Subspace of a space with torsion, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 34(1932) 27-50.
[HR]A. Hushmandi, M. Rezaii, On warped product Finsler spaces of Landsberg type, J. Math. Phys. 52
[KPV]L. Kozma, I. Peter, c. Varga, Warped product of Finsler manifolds, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest 44(2001)
[PT]E. Peyghan, A. Tayebi, On doubly warped product Finsler manifolds, Nonlinear Analysis: Real world Applications 13(2012) 1703-1720.

[PTN]E. Peyghan, A. Tayebi, B. Najafi, Doubly warped product Finsler manifolds with some non-Riemannian curvature properties, arXiv:1110.6826.
[Sa]M. Sánchez, On the geometry of generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes: curvature and Killing fields, J. Geom. Phys. 31(1999) 1-15.
[SO]S. Sular, C. Özgur, Warped products with a semi-symmetric metric connection, Taiwanese J. Math. 15(2011) no.4 1701-1719.
[U1]B. Ünal, Multiply warped products, J. Geom. Phys. 34(2000) 287-301.
[U2]B. Ünal, Doubly warped products, Diff. Geom. Appl. 15(2001) 253-263.
[Ya]K. Yano, On semi-symmetric metric connection, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 15(1970) 1579-1586.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun Jilin, 130024, China
E-mail: *wangy581@nenu.edu.cn*